```
NO. 85-57812
 1
 2
      KENNETH MUNN AND WIFE,
                                   IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
      DOLORIS MUNN
 3
      VS.
                                   HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S
 4
      PHILIP MORRIS,
      INCORPORATED,
 5
      ET AL.
                                   215TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
 7
                        DEPOSITION OF
                  DR. FREDERICK B. GILLER
                         VOLUME II
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
      Witness in the above-styled Cause, called by the
      Plaintiffs, taken before Alice A. Janetsky,
15
      Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of Texas,
16
      at the South Texas College of Law, 1303 San Jacinto
      Street in Houston, before the Master in Chancery,
      Professor Al Taylor, in Houston, Harris County,
17
      Texas, commencing at 9:00 a.m. on the 7th day of
18
      July, 1986, pursuant to Court Order, Order of
      Reference, and Stipulations of Counsel.
19
20
21
              Alice A. Janetsky, CSR, RPR
        Official Reporter, 215th District Court 4400 Memorial Drive, No. 1048
22
23
                  Houston, Texas 77007
          (7134) 221 6384
                               (713) 868 6976
24
25
```

(

٠. .

```
2
1
                     APPEARANCES
2
      COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:
                           Mr. W. W. Watkins
. 4
                           Abraham, Watkins, Nichols, Ballard,
                           Onstad & Friend
 5
                           800 Commerce Street
                           Houston, Texas 77002
                           Mr. W. E. Townsley
                           Mr. Dale K. Hanks
                           Townsley, Bush, Lewis & Ramsey
                           3550 Fannin Street
                           Beaumont, Texas
 9
                           Scanio, Teer & Cos
10
                           P. O. Box 249
                           Bayside, Texas 79377
11
                           Mr. Richard La Guarde
12
                           Fisher, Gallagher, Perrin & Lewis Allied Bank Plaza 70th Floor
13
                           1000 Louisiana
14
                           Houston, Texas 77002
15
      COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS:
                           Keith Kebodeaux
15
      Philip Morris,
                           Orgain, Bell & Tucker .
      Incorporated
                           470 Orleans Street
17
                           Beaumont, Texas 77701
13
                           Gene E. Voigts
                           Shook, Hardy & Bacon
19
                           20th Floor Mercantile Bank Tower
                          1101 Walnut
20
                           Kansas City, Missouri 64106
21
                           James J. Sandman
                           Arnold & Porter
22
23
24
                           Mr. Richard H. Caldwell
      R. J. Reynolds
                           Mayor, Day & Caldwell
      Tobacco Company
25
                           1900 Republic Bank Center
                           Houston, Texas 77002
```

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

(B&W) PROTECTED BY MINNESOTA TOBACCO LITIGATION PROTECTIVE ORDER

		3
1		Mr. Richard G. Stuhan Mr. Peter J. Biersteker
2		Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue 1700 Huntington Building
3		Cleveland, Ohio 44115
4	American Brands	Ms. Marcia Graham
5		Andrews & Kurth 4200 Texas Commerce Tower
6		Houston, Texas 77002
7	Brown & Williamson Tobacco Company	Mr. Paul E. Stallings Mr. B. Lee Ware
3		Vinson & Elkins 1001 Fannin Street
9		3120 First City Tower Houston, Texas 77002-6760
10		Mr. Frank C. Jones
11		Mr. Gordon Smith King & Spaulding
12		2500 Trust Company Tower Atlanta, Georgia 30303
13	Lorillard, Inc.	Mr. John G. Bissell
14		Strong, Pipkin, Nelson & Bissell 14th Floor San Jacinto Bldg.
15		595 Orleans Beaumont, Texas
16	Liggett Group, Inc.	Mr. Michael W. Hogue Mr.F. K. Decker
17	Liggett & Meyers Tobacco Company	Webster & Sheffield Webster & 601 Milam, Suite 1850 Sheffield
18	·	Texas Commerce Tower RockefellerPl. Houston, Texas 77002 New York, N.Y.
19	The Tobacco	Ms. Margaret Alexander
20	Institute	Covington & Burling
21		•
22	Council for	Mr. Mark E. James
23		Mr. Marc D. Murr Bracewell & Patterson
2 4	•	2900 South Tower, Pennzoil Place Houston, Texas 77002
25		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

```
Council for Mr. John G. Koeltl
Tobacco Research, Debevoise & Plimpton
 1
 2
       Continued
 3
       FOR THE WITNESS:
       Dr. Giller
                              Mr. Dana G. Kirk
                              Kirk & Carrigan
                              3900 One Shell Plaza
                              Houston, Texas 77002
                              Mr. Robert K. Ruskin, P. C.
                              Mr. Ron Levine
                              Herrick, Feinstein
 9
                              2 Park Avenue
                              New York 10015
10
11
       Also Present:
12
                              Mr. Frank Parrish
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

STIPULATIONS

IT WAS STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel, that the deposition was to be taken under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the Order of Reference.

IT WAS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the witness was to read and sign both the non-in camera portion and the in camera portion of the deposition before any notary authorized to take oaths in the location where the witness then was.

IT WAS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that all portions of the deposition and exhibits were subject to a non-dissemination agreement, and that the in camera portion of the deposition and exhibits were to be sealed by the Court, until further order of the Court; and further that if the original were not signed and filed with the Court by the time of trial, that a copy could be filed and used as fully as though signed.

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

1		8 did I understand you to say that?
2	A	That was my understanding.
3	Q	And the law firm that Mr. Decker was with?
4	A	Webster & Sheffield. Might I just say that I
5		believe there were changes in what law firm
6		Mr. Jacobs was associated with over the
7		years. in 1971, I don't believe he was with
8		the firm of Jacob, Medinger and Finnegan.
9		It may have been some other firm.
10	Q	I believe you said Janet Brown and the
11		Chadbourne firm represented American Tobacco
12		Company?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Donald Hoel?
15	A	Shook, Hardy & Bacon, what company did they
16		represent.
17	A	Shook, Hardy & Bacon represented three,
18		companies, Lorillard, Philip Morris and Brown
19		and Williamson.
20	Q	Did you have this same committee that was
21		involved when you were project officer at 3i
22		under the contract between 3i and Covington
23		and Burling?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Same people? You mentioned in your testimony

1		testimony last week that in the Literature
2		Retrieval Division of CTR there was a 1982
3		conversion to another computer system; is
4		that correct?
5	A	I believe I mentioned that the the
6		conversion began around in 1982.
7	Q.	Did you discontinue using the electronic data
8		processing company that you had used all
9		al2ng with LRD?
10	A	In 1982?
11	Q	Or did you phase it out? I mean what I am
12		trying to get at is did you discontinue using
13		your old source?
14	A	We did not discontinue using our old source,
15		no.
16	Q	Did you start using an additional source?
17	A	In 1982 we made a number of changes to the
18		computer system.
19	Ω	Without going into any detail, why don't you
20		just tell me briefly so a layman could maybe
21		understand?
22	A	At that time we converted our data entry
23		system, our key punch system that is, to what
24		is called a on-line data entry system. This
25		involved the purchase of hardware and

1		10 software. That activity was unrelated to our
2		outside data processing center. In addition
3		we began to convert our search system from a
4		batch search system to an on-line search
5		system using another additional data
6		processing vendor.
7	Q.	Was that additional data processing vendor
8		BRS?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	So you started using BRS in what year?
11	A	We started to use BRS to search the LS, Inc.
12		data base, so that would have been sometime
13		late in 1983.
14	A	However, prior to that time the research and
15		development in connection with this
16		conversion, if you will, began.
17	Q	I notice that there was research and
18		development of some \$50,000 to \$60,000. That
19		was the purpose of it in 1982 in the budget
20		of LRD?
21	A	I don't recall, probably.
22	Q	What does BRS stand for
23	A	Bibliographic Retrieval Services.
2 4	Q	Isn't that a company that maintains a data
25		bank that the public can subscribe to?
	ĺ	

_	_	11
1	A	That is correct.
2	Q	They will also construct private data banks
3		for customers?
4	A	That's correct.
5	Q	So in effect you had BRS to construct the
6		private data bank for the tobacco industry?
7	Α.	We had BRS construct a private data base of
8		the data base that we had for the lawyers
9		beginning at 3i, going through LRD and
10		whatever documents that were contained as of
11		the time it was converted.
12	Q	Has the 3i data been placed into the BRS
13		đata base?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Has the LRD data compiled 1971 through 1983
16		been placed in the BRS data base?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Who had access to the BRS data base at this
19		time?
20	A	LS, Inc. and one of the law firms.
21	Q	Which firm is that?
22	A	Shook, Hardy and Bacon.
23	Q	The other law firms did not have access to
24		it?
25	A	That is correct.

ı	Q	12 Whoever knows the code to access it, would be
2		able to access it, would they not?
3	A	Yes.
. 4	Q	You wouldn't care to give that to me this
5		morning would you?
6	A	No.
7	Q `	Last week we talked about users of the
8		Literature Retrieval Division data. And you
9		stated that the four law firms were users,
10		that there had been some user requests for
11		the Tobacco Institute and for some of the
12		people sometimes at CTR?
13	Q	Did I recall your testimony correctly?
14	A	I think I testified that the users during the
15		time LRD was at CTR were the law firms and
16	!	their co-counsel, the legal staffs, of their
17		clients and the Tobacco Institute. Did any
18		Texas lawyers have access to it?
19	A	During the LRD period?
20	Q	Yes.
21	A	I don't know of any.
22	Q	What about during the LS, Inc. period?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Can the Texas lawyers then get on-line with
25		LRD, or do they have to go through LS, Inc.?

1	A	They, as I have already mentioned, except for
2		Shook, Hardy and Bacon, all of the firms that
3		use the system, come through LS, Inc.
4	Q	When you gave me the list of users of the
5		Literature retrieval division when it was at
6		CTR, you omitted the people at CTR, itself.
7		They were not authorized users?
-	_	<u>-</u>
8	A	CRS's relationship with LRD was different
9		than the relationship that LRD had with other
10		users. There was an exchange of information
11		as I think I mentioned, an exchange of the
12		publicly available articles.
13	Q	I am talking about, I think, though the user
14		requests, where you actually used the
15		material that you collected, analyzed and
16		stored. The use of it as I understood from
17		your testimony last week is that certain
18		individuals that you named had, including Mr.
19		Jenkins and maybe Dr. Hockett, that you had
20		provided information to them at times; is
21		that correct?
22	A	I think I mentioned Dr. Hockett and Mr. Ramm
2 3		and Mr. Jenkins, as well.
2 4	Q	So that if they made a request, you would
2 5		fill it?

		14
1		MR. RUSKIN:: You are asking
2		that hypothetically, or are you
3		asking whether that happened.
4	Q	Well, just asking whether, if they made a
5		request they will fill it. Wouldn't you?
6		MR. RUSKIN:: That assumes
7	,	something.
8	Q	He has already told me that they made
9		requests.
10		MR. RUSKIN:: If he has as
11		already testified they filled it.
12		he has answered the question.
13		MR. KIRK: What is the
14		question again, Bill?
15	Q	When the people that you have mentioned at
16		CTR made a request for this information, then
17		you would fill that request wouldn't you?
18	A	When Mr. Ramm asked a question, I filled it.
19		Dr. Hockett, as I recall never asked for this
20		information, but received it as a result of
21		an attorney requesting he be sent it.
22	Q	Who requested that it be sent to Dr.
23		Hockett?
24	A	I believe that was Mr. Hoel.
2 5	Q	He is with Shook, Hardy's firm?
	ŀ	•

```
15
 1
          Yes.
 2
          Well, what about the request made by Mr.
 3
          Jenkins?
          The requests made by Jenkins were for publicly
 5
          available articles.
 6
          I am talking about on the user form.
          I don't believe Mr. Jenkins made any other
 7
 8
          requests.
 9
          Now Mr. Ramm, what was his title at CTR; do '
10
          you recall?
          At the time when I became administrator of
11
          LRD, I believe Mr. Ramm was the Chairman of
12
13
          CTR.
         And had he been, or he was with R. J.
14
          Reynolds Company, was he not, his company
15
          affiliation?
16
17
          I think he had been with R. J. Reynolds.
          Was Mr. Jenkins furnished any of this other
18
19
          material, besides these publicly available
          publications?
20
21
      Α
          Yes.
22
          Okay. What type of other material was
          furnished to Mr. Jenkins, generally?
23
          Mr. Jenkins received copies of microfilm that
24
25
          contained some of the information that was
```

```
16
 1
          stored at LRD.
 2
          Was this done on a regular basis?
 3
         Yes.
 4
        Did you microfilm all of the data there at
          LRD?
 5
 6
         Yes.
 7
        Did you furnish copies of it to various
          users?
 9
        Yes. .
10
         And you also furnished it to Mr. Jenkins?
11
         Yes.
12
         Did you know where Mr. Jenkins kept it after
13
          he got it?
14
         He kept it in a file on CTR's premises.
        Was a copy of this microfilm deposited in any
15
16
          of the safety deposit boxes and vaults?
17
          No.
18
        Who told you to furnish Mr. Jenkins copies of
19
         the microfilm?
20
          The lawyers on the committee.
      Q Did they tell you why you were to furnish a
21
22
          copy of the the microfilm to Mr. Jenkins?
23
         No.
      Q Did you furnish a copy of it to the Tobacco
24
25
          Institute, the microfilm?
```

Γ	
	17
A	Yes.
٥	During what years did you furnish the
	microfilm to the users and Mr. Jenkins?
	MR. RUSKIN:: To all of the
	users and Mr. Jenkins?
Q	Or to any of the users?
	MR. KIRK: But they are
ļ	different at different periods
	That's why I think the question
	should be broken down.
0	During 1971 to 1983.
Q	Did you furnish microfilm during that entire
	period of time?
Ì	MR. RUSKIN:: To whom? The
	users might differ with the
	period.
0	Well, say the authorized users, did you
•	furnish it through
. }	MR. RUSKIN:: 1971 to April
	of 1983 to every user?
Q	Yes.
A	Yes.
	MR. RUSKIN:: You are saying,
	was the microfilm furnished during
	that entire period to everyone of

		18
1		the authorized users?
2	Q	I think of just the four firms as being
3		authorized users.
4		MR. RUSKIN:: Now, you have
5		gone into the users. Are you
6		talking about the law firms or are
7		you talking about companies.
8	Q	Well, I will put it this way between 1971 to
9		1983 tell me everybody you furnished
10		microfilm to.
11		MR. KIRK: I am sorry.
12		Microfilm holds data. That was
13		part of the data base. What are
14	-	you talking about? I can imagine
15		there may be
16	Q	Maybe we need to go back. We have been
17		talking about the microfilm for sometime.
18		Why don't you describe to me the data which
19		you microfilmed?
20		MR. KIRK: And you mean by
21		that in general category, not in
22		specifics of the data microfilm?
23	Q	Yes, you don't need to go into the specifics.
24		Explain the nature of the information.
25	A	We would microfilm copies of articles that

1		had been selected, analyzed and indexed. We
2		also microfilm copies of the abstract and
3		index terms.
4	Q	Anything else that was furnished to Mr.
5		Jenkins?
6	Q	Was anything else microfilmed that was
7	•	furnished to Mr. Jenkins?
8	A	No.
9	Q	So that if we had the microfilm you furnished
10		to Mr. Jenkins, then we would have microfilm
11		of the articles, of the abstracts of the
12		articles, and the index identification of the
13		articles for the period of 1971 to 1983?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay. Why don't you describe to me what we
16		would have if we had the microfilm that you
17		furnished Mr. Jenkins during the 1971 to 1983
18	'	years?
19	A.	I don't recall when Mr. Jenkins started
20		receiving microfilm. And I don't recall when
21		he stopped receiving microfilm. But the
22		period of time that he received it was for a
23		shorter period than between 1981 and 1983.
2 4		MR. RUSKIN: '71.
25	A	'71, excuse me.

1		20 MR. RUSKIN: 1983, to April
2		of 1983.
3	Q	All right. So you don't know how many years
4		it was that Mr. Jenkins received this
5		microfilm?
6	A	No.
7	Q .	Well, would the records indicate?
8	A	I don't know.
9	Q	During this period of time did the Tobacco
10		Institute get all of the microfilm for all of
11		those years?
12		No.
12	A	
	Q	Okay. What years did the Tobacco Institute
14		receive microfilm of this information?
15	A	I don't remember.
16	Q	Would it have been the same years that Mr.
17		Jenkins received it?
18	A	No.
19	Q	How would it have been different?
20	A	As I recall, when I became administrator of
21		LRD, the Tobacco Institute was receiving
22		copies of this microfilm.
23	A	And I recall that years later, I was told to
2 4		start sending copies of this microfilm to Mr.
2 5		Jenkins. I don't recall when either the
	1	

		21
1		Tobacco Institute or Mr. Jenkins stopped
2		receiving the microfilm.
3	Q	Why did they stop receiving it?
4	A	Because the lawyers told me to stop sending
5		it.
6	Q	So at some point while you were still with
7	•	the Literature Retrieval Division of CTR, the
В	·	lawyers told you to stop sending the
9		microfilm to Mr. Jenkins?
10	A	To the best of my recollection, yes.
11	Q	They, at the same time, they also told you to
12		stop sending it to the Tobacco Institute?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Are you saying that this microfilm contained
15	:	nothing other than the articles themselves,
16		the abstracts of the articles and the index
17		information identifications?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Do you know to what use Mr. Jenkins put this
20		information on microfilm?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Do you know if the first time it was in
23	,	connection with with pending litigation or
24		anticipated litigation?
2 5	A	No.
		·

	! }	
1	Q	Do you know to what use the Tobacco Institute
2	¥	put this microfilm data?
3	A	No.
4	α	Do you know whether or not it was related to
	· ·	-
5		pending litigation or anticipated litigation
6		involving products liability lawsuits?
7	A	No.
8	Q	In doing this microfilming over a period of
9		years at the Literature Retrieval Division,
10		did you microfilm it as it was received and
11		prepared?
12	A	That was our goal.
13	Q	Did the microfilm ever synthesize any of the
14		information?
15		MR. RUSKIN:: I don't
16		understand the question.
17	Q	Well, say for example, you were doing some
18		microfilm in respect to an article that was
19		received and analyzed and indexed in 1975,
20	i	and it related to an article that you had
21		done the same thing for 1973. Did you ever
22		synthesize your information on microfilm?
23		MR. KIRK: Relate that
24		information to the earlier
25		information?

```
23
 1
          Or have the microfilm where you just ended up
 2
         adding to an existing microfilm, so if a
          person then is looking at this information
 3
          they would see not only the article, and the
          abstract, its index information, but also see
 5
 6
          it for the other periods on related subjects.
                          MR. RUSKIN:: On the same
 7
 8
                     piece of microfilm?
 9
          Yes.
10
          I don't understand the question.
11
          On the same piece of microfilm.
12
          The answer is no.
          Did you from time to time integrate any of
13
14
          your microfilm to do additional microfilming
          of the same data, but to also bring it
15
16
          together for certain index numbers or subject
          matters?
17
18
          Again, Mr. Townsley, so we understand each
19
          other, are you talking about at any point on
20
          a microfilm was there consolidated earlier
          information that had been collected.
21
22
      Q
          Yes?
23
          On this same microfilm, concerning the
          subject matter?
24
25
          Or any type of consolidation?
```

1	A	The only consolidation is, as you put it that
2		from time to time certain abstracts cross
3		referenced other articles by a document
4		number. This was part of the abstract. And
5		so that information was on the microfilm.
6	Q.	I guess what I am trying to get at is, when
7		you microfilmed these article abstracts and
8		index information identification did you do
9		it on a per piece basis with your microfilm,
10		or did you ever collect a lot of the the bits
11		of the microfilm together into one continuous
12		piece?
13	A	We did it on a piece basis.
14	Q	So that it was more or less in chronological
15		order as you prepared it?
16	A	I had said that was our goal. But in fact,
17		that did not occur.
18	Q	In the strictly Literature Retrieval
19		operation, did you do anything more than
20		collect the published information, abstract
21		it, index it, and store it, so it could be
22		retrieved? Is that all you did with it?
23	A	Yes, and of course we retrieved it on demand.
24	Q	Was the information gathered at 3i put on
25		microfilm?
	1	

```
25
 1
      A
          Yes.
 2
          Who was furnished copies of that microfilm?
          During the --
 3
                           MR. KIRK: During the 3i
                      period.
 5
 6
          Any period even up to today?
 7
          I don't -- remember who was furnished copies of
          this microfilm at 3i.
          After it came to LRD, were any copies made of
 9
10
          it?
11
      Α
          Of the microfilm.
12
          Yes? That is of the microfilm that came from
13
          3i, were copies made. Or were copies made
          after, or was the material microfilmed after
14
15
          it came to LRD?
16
                           MR. RUSKIN:: He said it was
17
                      microfilmed at LRD. I don't
                      understand the question. I am
18
19
                      sure he said it was as
20
                      microfilmed. And now you are
21
                      asking was it microfilmed at LRD.
22
                     He has said yes.
          You said it was microfilmed at LRD. Had you
23
24
          received the microfilmed material of 3i at
25
          LRD?
```

```
26
          Yes.
 1
 2
          Where was it kept then?
          Kept on LRD 's premises.
          It wasn't put in any of the safety deposit
 4
          boxes or vaults?
 5
          No.
 7
          Did you ever make any copies of it?
                           MR. KIRK: Of the microfilm?
 8
 9
      Α
          Yes.
10
          Who did you furnish copies to?
          To the various authorized law firms.
11
12
          Okay.
          And others. There was a shipping list, if
13
          you will. This list indicated who was to
14
15
          receive a copy of the microfilm.
          Who is on that list.
16
17
          Today?
18
          Who received, other than the four law firms,
          who received the copies of it?
19
20
                           MR. KIRK: Microfilm.
          I just said I don't -- -- recall who received
21
22
          copies of the microfilm.
          But it's on a shipping list?
23
                           MR. RUSKIN: I think what we
24
                      are confusing, he said he doesn't
25
```

689315729 _

1		recall when the microfilm was
2		prepared, who received what LRD
3		had. If you are talking about
4		additional microfilm, that's a
5		different story, and he is
6		confused as to what you are asking
7	,	him happened during the earlier
8		period when microfilm was made.
9		From the time of the microfilm,
10		there may have been additional
11		material filmed at LRD.
12	Q	I meant to ask you, Dr. Giller, you had
13		testified that copies were made at LRD of
14		3i material.
15		MR. KIRK: Microfilm?
16	A	I did not say that.
17	Q	Okay. That's probably our breakdown. So
18		after this microfilm came from 3i to the
19		Literature Retrieval Division of CTR, as far
20		as you know then, no copies were ever made of
21		it, additional ones?
22	A	So as far as I know, yes.
23	Q	But copies were made of it when it was at 3i?
2 4	A	Yes.
25	Q	Did the Tobacco Institute receive a copy of

1

```
28
          it?
 1
 2
          I don't remember.
          Did Mr. Jenkins receive a copy of it?
 3
          I don't remember.
          If you were going to find out who got copies
      32 of it, what records would you go to?
 6
 7
          I would have to find a shipping list that 3i 2-
          -- used? Well, was the shipping list among
 8
 9
          the papers that you turned over to Simon
          O'Shea back in 1983?
10
11
          We turned everything back to Simon O'Shea. I
          can't recall whether that particular item was
12
          included or not.
13
14
         It was part of the records of 3i; is that
15
         correct?
16
      A
          Yes.
          When you were at the Literature Retrieval
17
          Division of CTR, And there was a user
18
         request, would that request generally be in
19
20
          writing?
21
          No. It generally would be oral.
22
          And what kind of record would be made of the
23
          request?
24
          There was a form used which was called a user
          request form, that the individual who took
25
```

1		29 the request used to record the request and
2	i ,	the filling of the request.
3	Q	Filling the request?
4	Q	A person then could look at the information
5		on this completed form and respond to the
6		request?
7	A.	The information that got onto the request was
8		the information that the individual who
9		worked for LRD needed in order to respond to.
10		the request.
11	Q	Did you sometimes get requests in writing?
12	A	I don't recall.
13	Q	How frequently did you supply the additional
14		microfilm material to the users?
15	A	Perhaps once or twice a month.
16	Q	Did you have any other type of information
17		that you furnished from time to time to the
18		users without a request being made for it?
19	A	I can't recall any.
20	Q	What type of supervisors did you maintain in
21		respect to a user request, personal
22		supervision on your part?
23	A	At the outset when I became administrator I
2 4		was directing the literature operation
25		dealing firsthand with the individuals who

		3 0
1		were making the requests. As time went on
2		then some of this work was assigned to
3		others. And eventually I was not involved on
4		a regular basis in taking requests.
5	Q	What about after the material, the response,
6		was completed and it was ready to be sent to
7	,	the user, did you have any role in reviewing
8		the material that was sent off?
9	A	Not on a regular basis.
10	Q	In the earlier years did you always review
11		it?
12	A	I can't say that either.
13	Q	When material was furnished to a user in what
14		form would it be?
15	A	It would be in the form of copies of the
16		articles. It also could be in the form of
17		copies of the article as well as of the
18		abstract and index terms. It also could be
19		in the form of a computer print out, listing
20		out the articles and in each of those
21		instances, the material that we sent might
22		have comments written on them, of our
23		analyses that we did after researching the
24		information.
25	Q	Did you ever do any of the analyses,

		31
1		yourself?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Were there any guidelines for doing the
4		analyses?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	In writing?
7	A	In writing and oral instructions.
8	Q	When it was in writing, would it be in a
9		letter form, or how would it come? How
10		would you know how to analyze it the way a
11		user wanted?
12	A	There was a document. And that document
13		describes how articles are to be analyzed
14		and indexed.
15	Q	Well, now I was talking about the analysis
16		and comments that you prepared after you had
17		already collected this data?
18	A	Those analyses generally resulted from oral
19		instructions.
20	Q	Were there sometimes written instructions?
21	A	I believe there were, but I can't recall any
22		specific ones.
2 3	Q	Would the oral instructions be noted on these
2 4		information sheets everytime you had a user
2 5		request?
	1	

		32
1	A	Not necessarily.
2	Q	Well, the user then would have to know the
3		personnel that did the analysis then; is that
4		correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Who did you have doing analyses at LRD?
7	•	MR. RUSKIN: During what
8		period.
9	Q	'71 to '83?
10	A	I have no way of recalling the names of the
11		people who were doing that work over all
12		those years.
13	Q	Well, during the early years who did it when
14		it was of relatively small volume?
15	A	I did it, as I have said. Someone named
16		Phyllis Nash worked on it, also.
17	A	For a while, a man named Komendantof, Andrew
18		Komendantof worked on it. I don't recall
19		any other names at this date.
20	Q ·	What about during the last 3 or 4 years
21		before the Literature Retrieval Division
22		moved it's stuff to LS, Inc.?
23	Q	Who were the people that were doing these
2 4		analyses?
25	A	I can't recall the names. Different people
	I	•

1		33 worked on that or came to work for LRD and
2		left LRD. There were changes in the group
3		of people who did that over the years.
4	Ω	Did they all sign nondissemination or
5		nondisclosure agreement?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Did you maintain maintain copies of those
8		agreements for the people that worked under
9		under you?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And you now have them at LS, Inc.
12	A	Yes,
13	Q	People that did this type of analysis, they
14		would have to have a background in science;
15		would they not?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And I noticed that LRD did a lot of
18		recruiting for personnel and spent a
19		considerable amount of money. Did you
20		recruit, yourself, the people to handle these
21	<u>}</u>	assignments, the analyses?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What was your criteria or qualifications for
2 4		somebody to do this?
25		MR. RUSKIN: Could we have
	1	•

1		a, Judge, can we have a short
2		break.
3		THE MASTER: Yes, we will take
4		ten minutes.
5		(short recess)
6		MR. RUSKIN:: Before we
7	•	continue with the questioning the
8		witness would like to make a
9		statement about earlier testimony
10		that he gave in response to Mr.
11		Townsley's questions.
12	A	I believe I answered his question
13		incorrectly. I believe Mr. Townsley asked if
14		there were any users of the system in Texas.
15		And I incorrectly said, yes. There are none.
16	Q	I am very disappointed to hear that. You
17		know, with the energy crisis and everything,
18		we have a lot of facilities, great
19		personnel, and lots of equipment. I want you
20		to know we could do a wonderful job down
21		here; couldn't we Dana?
22		MR. KIRK: Yes, no place like
23		Texas.
2 4	A	We generally advertised for individuals who
25		had a bio-medical background, and perhaps

		4.0
1		40 that was prepared by the Literature Retrieval
2		Division of CTR was not placed in the safety
3		deposit boxes. Did you have fire safe
4		containers on your premises to protect this
5		microfilm?
6	A	No.
7	Q	You mean it was exposed to the elements there.
8		like any other files?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Wouldn't that have been put into the safety
11		deposit box?
12	A	Simply because the other law firms, rather,
13		the law firms that were receiving copies of
14		this material were off our premises. Had we
15		had an emergency such as a fire, we could
16		have recovered a number of the copies from
17		the different law firms. That was not a
18		concern.
19	Q	At the Irving Trust Company you testified
20		that you had some boxes or safes or vaults.
21		I never did understand exactly what you had
22		over there during the years 1971 to 1983.
23		Would you explain what was obtained to store
2 4		these materials at the Literature Retrieval
25		Division?

41 1 MR. KIRK: Bill, I am going 2 to object. The question was asked and answered. And I think he 3 answered rather specifically as to the specific facilities that he had at the Irving Trust on the the first day of the deposit. 8 did not answer as to the capacity or the nature or the value, also as to whether it's... 9 a vault you could walk in. 10 11 MR. RUSKIN He would answer 12 that. MR. KIRK: One more time. 13 14 I don't know as I can recall. Two boxes and 15 one safe. The thing that distinguished them 16 was that the boxes had a key, and the safe 17 had a combination, which is why they were called what they were. The sizes were -- the 18 19 last time I was there was sometime ago, so I 20 am going to have to remember it but the two boxes were, would be one by two feet. I 21 believe the safe was about twice that size, 22 but I cannot recall the dimensions exactly. 23 You mentioned that in the boxes and safe, 24 one or the other, all it included was your 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

,		42
1		data, I think was the word that you used.
2		Again, just explain the physical form of this
3		without going into the contents of the data?
4	A	I think I described the physical forms as
5		being computer tapes and indexes.
6	Q	By indexes, what do you mean?
7	A.	I mean printouts of the terms that were
8		stored in the computer.
9	Q	Were the articles themselves stored in the
10		computer?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Just the analyses?
13		MR. RUSKIN: We are talking
14		about what period now?
15	Q	1971 to 1983?
16	A	The analyses were not stored in the computer.
17		All you have got is the abstract portion of
18		the analysis.
19	Q	It was on microfilm?
20	A	And on hard copy.
21	Q	Just give me a brief description of the
22		computer tapes that were there in the safety
23		deposit box or safe?
24	A	The computer tapes there in the box included
25		a copy of the then current data base as well

1		as copies of the programs that ran the
2		computer system.
3	Q	I guess I need a lay explanation of what the
4		data base is?
5	A	The data base is the collection of all of the
6		information that is stored for each document
7		that we have analyzed and indexed.
8	Q	Well, I had understood earlier that the data
9		base did not include the articles themselves.
10		Did I misunderstand you?
11	A	No, you didn't. When I use the term data
12		base, in this instance, and I am speaking
13		about the electronic data base, it is the
14		specific information that is on the tapes.
15	Q	Yes?
16	A	Data base could be used in a broader sense
17		as well.
18	Q	Why don't you give us generally the
19	•	description of what was in the data base. I
20		had assumed it was the articles and the
21		abstracts itself?
22		MR. RUSKIN: Are you going
23		back to the tapes, referring to
24	:	what kind of material was on the
2 5		tape, rather than the data base?
	1	

1	A	44 The tape contained for each article that was
2		selected and analyzed, an index. All of the
3		information that was developed at LRD, with
4		the exception of the abstract.
5	Q	And the abstract was furnished to the off-
6		premises computer, key punched?
7	A.	No. The abstract was not key punched.
8	Q	Okay. You say the tape contained information
9		other than the abstract?
10	A	That LRD had prepared.
11	Q	Okay. Describe that information, the
12		information other than, just a general
13		description of that information other than,
14		the analysis that was on the tape?
15		MR. RUSKIN I think he just
16		did that. Try it again.
17	A	Other than the abstract we, using the
18	}	language I think you used earlier, the index
19		of information. That is to say all the index
20		terms that were assigned to that article were
21		stored on the computer tape, for each
22		article.
23	Q	But the article itself it was not stored?
2 4	A	That is correct.
25	Q	The article would be on microfilm, and

1		ultimately a hard copy of it?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	That was kept at the Literature Retrieval
4		Division premises?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Was it kept at any other place?
7	A.	The Retrieval Division was the only place
8		that I believe had a complete set of the hard
9		copy. And as I have already noted, there
10		were various law firms received microfilm
11		copies of that.
12	Q	Where you would have a user request and you
13		wanted to do a printout, say, of an article
14		in the analysis, would you do it from the
15		microfilm?
16	A	Not as a rule.
17	Q	Now, how would you do it?
18	A	I would do it from the hard copy.
19	Q	And the hard copy was maintained at the
20		premises of the Literature Retrieval
21		Division?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	After you had completed your response to a
2.4		request, what records would you maintain at
25		the Literature Retrieval Division of the
	1 .	

46 1 material that you furnished to the user? 2 We would maintain a copy of the user request 3 form that I described earlier, that generally had noted on it the specifics of the request. Would you maintain with that a copy of what 5 was furnished to the user? 6 7 No. 8 Did you say that Covington & Burling was a user even though they weren't a member of the 9 10 four firms? Were they also authorized users of the services of the Literature Retrieval 11 12 Division of CTR? 13 To the best of my recollection they were. So if they made a user request, you would 14 15 fill it? 16 Yes. Again, I would like just to say that I can't 17 say with accuracy who would and would not 18 19 have a list of users at any particular point 20 in time except that there was a pattern that 21 certain firms always were on the the list and 22 exactly whether Covington & Burling was on 23 the list, I can't recall. 24 When someone was removed from the list, who 25 would advise you?

_		47
1	A	The committee of lawyers.
2	Q	Would you actually make a list, where you
3		could strike out the person who was no longer
4		authorized as a user?
5	A	We will update the list.
6	Q	Then if you were noticed that a additional
7	,	party was an authorized user, then you would.
8		add that person to the list?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	In the users' requests, did you sometimes get
11		a request for information on a particular
12		person?
13	A	At any time?
14	Ω	At anytime, just a request on a particular
15		person?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What about a particular organization?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Would this committee have already furnished
20		you the index information about a particular
21	į	person or particular organization?
22	A	What do you mean by index information.
23	Q	I understand that in making your analysis and
2 4		the index, you used, you had a guideline,
25	<u> </u>	another index that had been furnished to you.
	ĺ	

1		48 And I guess what I am trying to get to is
2		would the person or organization have had an
3		identification number in this index or
4		guideline?
5	A	If you were analyzing and indexing articles
6		that had been selected using the lawyers'
7	,	selection criteria, and the name of an
8		individual or the name of an organization was
9		indexed, then that information would be
10		available for retrieval. And if it wasn't,
11		indexed therein, it would not be available
12		for retrieval.
13	A	The selection criteria didn't relate in the
14		manner that you are describing as to how
15		articles were selected.
16	Q	Did you select and abstract and index this
17		material essentially the same way you did
18		when you were at 3i?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	You got your Ph.D in 1965, did you not?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What month of '65 was that?
23	A	I don't remember.
24	Q	You don't remember whether it was in the
25		summer or the fall?
)		

l		
ı	A	I don't recall.
2	Q	You don't recall that you testified that for
3		several months prior to that time you were
4		doing part time work for 3i? Is that
5		correct?
6	A	Prior to the time
7	Q.	You got your Ph.D?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Was 3i at that time doing essentially the
10		same things for Covington and Burling as was
11		done after you became a full time employee?
12	A	I don't know.
13	Q	You don't know one way or the other?
14	A	No, I don't.
15	Q	Well, you do know that 3i was collecting and
16		abstracting and indexing the bio-medical
17		literature, don't you?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q.	Would they have microfilmed what you did as a
20		part-time employee?
21	A	As a part time employee before I got my
22		doctorate, I was abstracting and indexing
23		literature for the 3i company publications
24		that the 3i company published and sold to the
25		public. And they did not have anything to do

Branch Communication

1		with this project.
2	Q	So then I take it that your abstracting work
3		for 3i before you got your Ph.D was not under
4		any nondisclosure agreement?
5	A	Counsel, I didn't say that
6	Q	Did you say it had nothing to do with the
7		tobacco industry.
8	A	No.
9	Q	What about your part-time work, while you
10		were working on your Ph.D., which continued
11		while you were an abstractor for 3i from
12		from 1965 to 1966? Did any of that work,
13		was any of it related to 3i doing work for
14		part of the tobacco industry?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Was all of that part-time work involved in
17		doing work for a segment of the tobacco
18		industry?
19	A	No.
20	Q ·	When did you actually start doing work at 3i
21		as a part-time worker that related to
22		services for a segment of the tobacco
23		industry?
24	A	I don't remember.
25	Q	Do you know when 3i first started doing this
ı		

1		51 work for the tobacco industry as to what year
2		they started doing it? I am talking about
3		the selection, abstracting and indexing of
4		bio-medical literature are published
5		literature?
6	A	Are you talking about the contract with
7	,	Covington and Burling.
8	Q	Not necessarily. When did they start doing
9		work for the tobacco industry?
10	A	Well, that's what I am trying to address.
11		The work that they did was for the attorneys
12		who represented the tobacco industry. I just
13		wanted to say that.
14	Ω	When did you start doing the work that you
15		were doing, the work as a part-time employee
16		and also working as an abstractor? Do you
17		know when it was that you first began that
18		work for 3i?
19	A	No.
20	Q	You don't know whether it had been going on
21		for several years or not?
22	A	I wouldn't say that.
23	Q	Well, I was trying to get some way of
24		pinpointing the date when 3i first started
2 5		doing some work for Covington & Burling.

```
5 2
          I think I understand what you are trying to
 1
 2
          pinpoint. But I just can't recall these
          dates from twenty years ago. I don't
 3
          recall.
          3i may have been doing this before you
 5
          started doing part-time work on it; might
 6
          they not?
 7
 8
          I don't know what they were doing before I
 9
          was approached with it, because I recall
10
          attending a meeting with some of these
          lawyers in a start-up session. I don't
11
12
          believe that the project could have gone on
          very long before I got there.
13
14
      Q
          This was the start-up of the project at 3i?
15
      Α
          Yes.
16
          Do you know when 3i, how long they had been
          doing this at that time?
17
18
          I'm not certain, perhaps a few years.
         Do you know if 3i had done work under a
19
20
          different type of contract for Covington and
          Burling, selecting materials according to
21
22
          the criteria furnished you for abstracting
23
          and indexing and storage? How far back do
24
          you go in terms of dates as far as selecting
25
          literature.
```

		53
1	A	It's my recollection that I think 1963 was
2		more or less the beginning date. In terms of
3		the published literature. But I do know
4		there were some documents in this data base
5		that are earlier than that, data that were
6		published earlier than that date.
7	Q	What means were taken to select those.
8	A	We went into the libraries and used the
9		manual indexes, since that was the only way
10		of retrieving the information in those days.
11		There was no automated systems,
12		perhaps with the exception of the beginning
13		project at MEDLARS, the government library.
14		In addition, I recalled that the litigating
15		lawyers themselves sent both copies of
16		articles that they had in their own
17	:	particular files as well as references to
18		other articles. We went out and obtained
19		those articles.
20	Q	Did this take place while you were at 3i or
21		the Literature Retrieval Division or both?
22	A	I think this was limited to when I was at 3i.
23		I can add this to perhaps clarify that.
24		If a litigating lawyer told me that they had
2 5		a collection of articles that they wanted

54 us to put through the selection criteria 1 or the bibliography of articles, that we 2 would get the them and pass them by the 3 selection criteria to see if they would be selected. Did the Tobacco Institute ever furnish any 6 such materials? 7 8 A Not to my knowledge. What about the Surgeon General's reports over 9 the years? Did either 3i or the Literature 10 Retrieval Division ever collect and abstract 11 and index them? 12 Sir? 13 14 The Surgeon General's reports? MR. RUSKIN: Bill, that is now 15 16 getting into what he did while he was at these companies pursuant to 17 the the lawyers' directions. And 18 we object to that on the grounds of 19 20 work product, and instruct him not to answer. 21 MR. KIRK: Can we take a five-22 minute break, Judge? We have been 23 24 going about 45 minutes. THE MASTER: Yes. Real short. 25

		55
1		(Recess)
2		THE MASTER: Ready when you
3		are counselor.
4	Q	Okay. Dr. Giller, earlier you discussed the
5		secondary publications that people at the
6		Literature Retrieval Division reviewed to see
7		if it fit any of the criteria. I believe you
8		have also testified that in addition to
9		getting the secondary publications, that you
10		had secondary sources of publications. And I
11		want to know if these secondary sources
12		applied the criteria?
13	A	The secondary source that we used that I can
14		recall never received the criteria, as I have
15		already testified.
16	Q	How did they know what to look for?
17	A	The service that I am describing is a
18		computer alerting service.
19	Q.	What was the name of that?
20	A	The name of the company is the Institute for
21		Scientific Information.
22	Q	Okay. And what exactly did they do for you?
23	A	The Institute for Scientific Information
24		would search it's data base, on a regular
2 5		basis, perhaps semi-monthly. And their data

1		56 base was and is to my knowledge a by-product
2		of their particular publications that they
3		sell to the public.
4	Q	And as they developed these publications they
5		used the computer. And they had in their
6		computer as a result, the titles of all of
7		the articles and the authors of all of the
8		articles that they included in their
9		publications. The Institute for Scientific
10		Information then marketed what they called an
11		alerting service, which was simply a search
12	į	of their data base, based on title words.
13	A	So if an article that they happened to
14		publish in one of their journals that they
15	1	sold had as a title, "The Effect Of Alpha
16		Antitrypsin on Lung Epithelium, " for example,
17		one could search all of those words in the
18		title.
19	Q	Who would give them the title words to check?
20	A ·	I would.
21	Q	And when you would give them the title words
22		to check, was this in a contract that you had
23		with them, or a letter, or how did you
2 4		communicate this information to them of the
25		title words to check?
ı		

1	A	57 There was a purchase order. They were a
2		vendor and we would specify in either a
3		letter or the purchase order what specific
4		title words or portions of the title words
5		that we wanted searched.
6	Q	What years was this service utilized by you?
7	A '	I don't remember.
8	Q	Was it utilized by you while you were at 3i?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Was it utilized by you while you were at the
11		Literature Retrieval Division?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay, what years while you were at the
14		Literature Retrieval Division?
15	A	I don't remember.
16	Q	You don't remember any of them?
17	A	I don't know when this service began. And so
18		I can't recall when we started to use it.
19	Q	Are you using it at LS, Inc.
20	A	Yes.
-21	Q	Could you estimate how many years you used it
22		at the Literature Retrieval Division of/at
23		CTR?
24	A	I would try to estimate by just saying, a few
25		years while at LRD.
	l	·

		5.8
1	Q	If you wanted to find out, you could refer to
2		these purchase orders?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	When we talked about these title words or
5		portion of the title words, are we talking
6		about, oh, just a half a dozen or so? Or are
7	,	we talking about literally scores of the
8		title words or portions of the title words?
9	A	I think there were about twenty.
10	Q	Okay. I want you to go ahead and state what
11		those titles were for these searches.
12		MR. RUSKIN: We will object to
13		that on the grounds of work
14		product.
15		MR. TOWNSLEY: I think we need
16		to vacate and let him answer this
17		one.
18		(At this time plaintiffs'
19		counsel withdrew from the
20		room, and the Witness' answer was
21		heard In Camera before the
22		Master with counsel for the witness
23		and defense counsel in attendance.)
2 4		000
2 5		(Plaintiffs' counsel having

	59
1	returned to the deposition room,
2	the following proceedings were had
3	before the Master, with the
4	Witness and all counsel present.)
5	Q Since that was done in a relatively brief
6	time let me ask you, Dr. Giller, to describe
7	all selection that was made by you either at
8	3i or LRD of copies of the Surgeon General's
9	reports, various reports on the health
10	consequences of smoking, and what you did
11	with those reports in respect to analysis,
12	indexing or any other work in respect to
13	them.
14	MR. KIRK: Objection.
15	MR. RUSKIN: Work product.
16	MR. KIRK: Also object to the
17	form, he will attempt to answer
18	it. It's three questions, not
19	one.
20	(At this time, the plaintiffs'
21	counsel withdrew from the
22	deposition room, and the Witness'
23	answer was heard In Camera before
2 4	the Master, with counsel for the
25	witness and defense counsel present.)

		60
1		000
2		(Plaintiffs' counsel having
3		returned to the deposition room,
. 4		the following proceedings were had
5		before the Master, with the Witness
6		and all counsel present.)
7	Q.	(By Mr. Townsley) Dr. Giller when the user
8		requests were being kept at 3i from 1966 to
9		1983 was the same type of record keeping
10		made?
11	A	I don't remember.
12	Q	What type of record keeping was made then at
13		3i?
14	A	I can't recall exactly what kinds of records
15		were kept. I believe what was kept was what
16		was transmitted, is the answer to a given
17		question, which would have been a list of
18		document numbers.
19	Q	At the Literature Retrieval Division, did you
20		set up some sort of file on each user
21		question?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What kind of identification indication did
24		you give these user request files?
25	A	The user requests are filed in two ways, by

		61
1		number, by increasing numbers these are the
2		prenumbered forms and the copy also is filed
3		by the requestor, the law firm that is.
4	Q	So that if you were told to furnish the user
5		request records for 1974, you would know how
6		to obtain them?
7	A.	I would know how to obtain them. However, if
8		I were asked that question I could not obtain
9		those request forms from 1974.
10	Q	Why is that?
11	A	Because we don't keep them that long.
12	Q	Okay. What's happened to those user request
13		forms, the user requests made in 1974?
14	A	They were weeded out.
15	Q	What was the policy as far as weeding out
16		these user request forms?
17	A	We keep most of those materials five years,
18		plus the current year. So our records would
19		go back to 1981.
20	Q	Okay. When would you have done away with the
21		1980 user requests?
22	A	When that no longer qualified for five years
23		plus currents.
24	Q	What would you have done with it?
2 5	A	Destroyed them.

62 How did you go about destroying them? 1 Shredded them. So then the past year you have shred at least 3 one year of user requests? 5 Yes. No one has suggested to you that perhaps these should be preserved for the time being 7 and that none should be destroyed? 8 Yes, my counsel advised me to discontinue any 9 routine destruction. 10 When did you get that advice? 11 Q It would have been earlier this year. 12 A During the testimony I have heard reference 13 made by you as to the document called the 14 guidelines. Then I have heard reference made 15 to a document containing the indexes, and 16 then we have made reference to the criteria 17 furnished for selecting materials. Would you 18 describe for me the actual documents that you 19 20 had at the Literature Retrieval Division that covered those three, the guidelines, the 21 22 indexes, the information, the criteria. And I don't know whether we are talking about the 23 same thing or not. So would you go ahead 24 and describe it? 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

		63
1	A	There are separate documents for these
2		purposes. There is a separate document that
3		is a document that provides selection
4		criteria.
5	Q	Is that what you call it a selection criteria
6		document?
7		MR. RUSKIN: It's got another
8		name.
9	Q	What is the name you give it, so we will know
10		what we are talking about?
11	A	That document is called the SCOPE OF
12		COVERAGE.
13	Q	Okay. That's one document, the Scope of
14		Coverage. And is that what you refer to as
15		the document containing the criteria for ?
16	A	Selecting.
17	Q	Selecting the published materials?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	What is the other document?
20	A	I am going to try for the exact title of
21	}	these documents, but I can't say I am 100
22		percent accurate. There is a document and
23		settlements called something like GUIDELINES
2 4		FOR ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING.
25		There is a third, to answer your

		64
1		question, a third area which dealt with
2		indexes. There are INDEXES OF TERMS that are
3		used to store and retrieve the articles with.
4	Q	Is this a bound document?
5	A	The indexes are.
6	Q	Are they separate documents?
7	A ·	The indexes are separated from the other .
8		documents.
9	Q	I mean, are all the indexes though, are they
10		combined together into one document or one
11		binder, the index of the terms used to store
12		and retrieve?
13	A	I believe there are two volumes of index
14		terms that deal with topical concepts. There
15		are others that involve index terms that deal
16		with bibliographic contents.
17	Q	How many volumes would that be?
18	A	I think that would be three volumes.
19	Q	Okay, are there any more?
20	A	Not that I recall.
21		THE MASTER: May I interrupt
22		just a second at this point.
23		Dr. Giller, a large part of
2 4		your work had to do with analysis.
25		Are you saying there isn't any

1		65 document that relates to how you
2		perform one of your analyses?
3	A	The analyses are covered in this document
4		that I believe is called GUIDELINES FOR
5		ABSTRACTING AND INDEXING and its
6		supplements.
7		THE MASTER: Thank you, sir.
8	Q	It has supplements, you say?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	This SCOPE OF COVERAGE you mentioned first
11		as being a document, is this a one volume
12		work, or what is the configuration of that
13		document?
14	A	I think we described it last week as a
15		booklet of about twenty-five or thirty pages.
16	Q	Did such a document exist when you were at
17		31?
18	A	Yes.
19 .	Q	Did it exist when you were at the Literature
20		Retrieval Division
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And it exists while you are now at LS, Inc.
23	A	Yes.
2 4	A	I should add that this document has changed
25		over the years as the lawyers saw fit to
	1	

```
change it. So I don't want to give you the
 1
 2
          impression that the current edition of the
 3
          SCOPE OF COVERAGE is the same SCOPE OF
          COVERAGE as it was at any time in the past.
 5
          Do you retain in your files all of the
 6
          superseded portions of it?
 7
          I believe one of the attorneys has copies of
          those superseded versions. And I may have in
 8
 9
          our files some superseded versions.
10
          And the document, GUIDELINES FOR ABSTRACTING
          AND INDEXING, was that document in existence
11
12
          at 3i?
13
          Yes.
14
          And was it in existence when you were at the
          Literature Retrieval Division?
15
16
          Yes.
17
          And it's in existence now at LS, Inc.?
18
          Yes.
19
          Has it likewise changed over the years?
          Yes, sir.
20
21
         Yes.
22
          At this time does it have one or more
23
          supplements?
24
      Α
          Yes.
25
          How many supplements does it have?
```

68 1 changed over the years also. 2 Q I will ask you this question, Dr. Giller: We 3 have spent sometime in having you identify the users of this material at 3i and the Literature Retrieval Division. Now I want 5 to ask you if any company representatives, tobacco company representatives of any of 7 the Big Six whether it's counsel, or 8 9 president, janitor, or anybody, did you ever -10 supply any materials to these company 11 representatives or any company 12 representatives? At 3i? We didn't know to whom we were 13 14 supplying analyses or search results, since 15 all of the questions came through a common 16 source and then were relayed to us. And 3i 17 would respond to the question by searching 18 the computer and doing whatever analysis it 19 does for that question, and then report back 20 a list of document numbers to the individual who made the request. That individual then 21 22 did something else with it. And I don't 23 know what was done with it after that point. 24 Would this individual be on, be a member of the lawyers' committee? 25

	İ	
1	A	69 This individual was Simon O'Shea, who was
2		then the central requestor. And I don't know
3		whether Simon O'Shea was affiliated with the
4		Council for Tobacco Research during any of
5		those years.
6	Q	I think you showed me a document last week
7		that showed he had a title, something to do
8		with the Council, and was at some meeting.
9		But I had never seen that document until that
10		time.
11	A	I had no knowledge of any affiliations that
12		he might have had other than the Covington
13		and Burling.
14	Q	At the time the Literature Retrieval
15		operations were transferred from 3i to the
16		Literature Retrieval Division of/at at CTR,
17		do you have any, just a general idea about
18		how many requests you were receiving a month
19		from users? Is it something that came in
20		every week or ?
21	A	I am afraid I don't have any recollection of
2 2		that at all.
23	Q	Did you compile some statitics from time to
2 4		time showing how many user requests had been
25		made?

70 I did not. 1 2 Did 3i bill out based on the user requests 3 made? Not to my recollection. When you left the Literature Retrieval 5 Division in '83, during the previous year 6 would you have had hundreds of user requests? 7 A Yes. Dr. Giller, while you were at the Literature. 9 Retrieval Division from 1971 to 1983, 10 describe any materials that you collected or 11 abstracted or indexed that pertained to 12 cigarette advertising? 13 MR. RUSKIN Objectionable on 14 the grounds of work product. 15 (At this time, plaintiffs' 16 counsel withdrew from the 17 deposition room, and the 18 Witness' answer was heard In 19 In Camera before the Master, with 20 21 counsel for the witness and defense counsel in attendance.) 22 ---000---23 24 (Lunch Recess) 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

1	71
2	AFTERNOON SESSION
3	July 9, 1986
4	·
5	(Plaintiff's counsel having
6	returned to the deposition room,
7	the following proceedings were had.
8	before the Master, with the
9	Witness and all counsel present.)
10	MR. RUSKIN: Prior to the
11	luncheon recess, there was
12	reference made in the record to
13	three documents that will be
14	marked as In Camera Exhibits A, B,
15	and C.
16	(In Camera Exhibits A, B, and
17	C were marked Camera Ex. A,
18	Camers Ex. B, Camera Ex. C. for
19	identification by the reporter,
20	and copies were attached to In
21	Camera Deposition of Frederick B.
22	Giller, Volume 2 only.)
23	MR. RUSKIN: And I would like
24	to describe for the record in Mr.
25	Townsley's presence, pursuant to
	·

72 his request, a description of what 1 each of these documents, 3 Camera Ex. A, Camera Ex. B, and Camera Ex. C, is. Camera Ex. A is the SCOPE OF 5 COVERAGE that existed as of 6 Setember 12, 1980. 7 Camera Ex. B consists of an 8 actual article, which was selected. 9 by LRD for inclusion within its 10 system. It includes an abstract 11 12 that was prepared of that article. 13 And it includes a description of 14 the index terms that were utilized 15 in order to put that -- put those 16 index terms within the computer 17 system for retrieval purposes. The third document 18 19 (Camera Ex. C) consists of again, 20 an actual copy of a user request 21 that was utilized in March of 1982. 22 And it's an actual user request 23 that was taken from the files of 24 . LRD, and it shows in addition the 25 nature of the request of the user.

73 It shows the response that was 1 made by LRD to that request, subsequent to the time it was made. 3 And as to each of these documents, we would ask the witness to describe the documents 6 and to explain them for the benefit of the Special Master, in order that he understands what 9 10 each of the Documents is, and the manner in which it was used. 11 12 MR. KIRK: Just one thing 13 further. In making this offer of 14 proof In Camera, none of the 15 defendants, nor the witness, nor 16 LS, Inc. or LRD, for that matter 17 is waiving any objection they may 18 have to the production of these documents, nor to the assertion of 19 20 privilege related to these 21 documents as to the work product, 22 attorney-client -- as well as any other privileges which may be 23 24 applicable. 25 That should be made clear.

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

	7.4
1	And you and I have expressed to
2	the master previously in the In
3	Camera record that these documents
4	will not be disclosed to the
5	plaintiffs' under any
6	circumstances until all appellate
7	remedies are exhausted, should the
8	Court rule these are in any way
9	discoverable.
10	MR. TOWNSLEY: For the
11	plaintiffs, of course we cannot
12	give our approval to any procedure
13	whereby the defendants are allowed
14	to put on evidence to support
15	their position when we are not
16	apprised of that evidence, which
17	includes both the exhibits and the
18	testimony.
19	Particularly, we have
20	misgivings about the procedure
21	whereby testimony is put on in our
22	absence to it. Likewise, we are
23	not waiving any objections that we
2 4	may have to it.
2 5	We specifically have

L

75 reservations about selecting 1 2 materials dated in the '80's, when 3 it might be more appropriate to select the materials dated in the early '70's. We do not like a 5 procedure where the defendants 6 have arbitrarily selected what -7 8 they may characterize as typical user requests. If this were 9 to be done, it should be done in 10 a supervised random manner that 11 12 would contain sufficient samples that there would be a high degree 13 14 of reliability that you are getting representative information 15 that was collected, prepared, and 16 utilized by the Literature 17 Retrieval Division of CTR. 18 19 We understand that in our 20 absence, under the procedure that 21 the Master is following, that the 22 witness will be asked to explain and describe these three 23 24 documents. We do not know whether there's going to be just one 25

1	76 question, and that is going to be
2	it in respect to each of the
3	documents, or not. And I would
4	like some clarification on it.
5	I would like for the
6	defendants to agree to present
7	some articles and some user
8	request materials and documents
9	for the Master's examination,
10	where they are obtained in a
11	manner that we select, rather than
12	in a manner that the defendants
13	have selected. We have had what
14	purports to be representative
15	documents presented to us before;
16	then after getting the underlying
17	material, find it's very
18	unrepresentative.
19	So we all have these caveats
20	and misgivings. So without
21	further discussion, I suppose we
22	will retire, and you can proceed.
23	(At this time, plaintiffs'
24	counsel withdrew from the
25	deposition room, and the Witness'
[

1		77 answer was heard In Camera before
2		The Master, with counsel for the
3		Witness' and defense counsel in
4		attendance.)
5		000
6		(The plaintiffs' counsel
7	•	having returned to the deposition
8		room, the following proceedings
9		were had before the Master, with -
10		the Witness and all counsel
11		present.)
12		MR TOWNSLEY: I guess, Your
13		Honor, the record should show that
14		plaintiffs' counsel have now
15		returned to the room at this point
16		to resume questioning of Dr.
17		Giller.
18	Q	Dr. Giller, you have been discussing some
19		three exhibits here, while we have been out
20		of the the room.
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	This SCOPE OF COVERAGE of September 12, 1980,
23		is that the document that you are currently
2 4		using at LS, Inc.
25	A	No.
;		

```
1
          Was September 12, 1980, was that an edition
      Q
 2
          of this SCOPE OF COVERAGE?
          Yes.
 3
      A
          Have there been several editions.
 5
          Yes,
      A
          Would the first edition have been in about
          1966?
 7
 8
          Yes.
 9
          Who selected the September 12, 1980 edition?
          I did.
10
11
          Well, did you just decide to bring it?
12
          Yes.
13
          Did you have anybody suggest that you bring
          it?
14
          Not that particular edition, no?
15
      A
          Do you have other editions with you?
16
          No.
17
      Α
18
          That's the only one you happened to bring?
19
      A
          Yes.
20
          If you had wanted to bring an edition from
          the 1960's or early seventies, you couldn't
21
22
          have, could you?
23
          I am not sure my records go back that far.
24
          Why don't they go back that far?
25
          It wasn't my purpose to keep historical
```

1		records on these things?
2	Q	You mean there's been some of the SCOPE OF
3		COVERAGE editions that have been destroyed?
4	A	I didn't say that, no. It's just that the
5		lawyers on the committee kept these documents.
6		The documents that I have are aren't
7	,	necessarily to be filed.
8	Q	You testified earlier that this SCOPE OF
9	i	COVERAGE was one of the documents that you
10		all had and used; did you not?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Well, haven't you had an edition of it at all
13		times?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Are you saying that you don't have all of the
16		editions that you have had in the past?
17	A	I am saying that I don't know whether I have
18		all the past editions.
19	Q.	Of your own personal knowledge, have any of
20		them been destroyed?
21		MR. KIRK: By Mr. Giller?
22	Q	Or anybody else. Of his personal knowledge,
23		have any of these editions of the SCOPE OF
2 4		COVERAGE been destroyed?
25	A	I believe multiple copies of a particular

	!	
1		80 issue would have been destroyed to avoid
2		confusion as to which was the more current
3		one, but the particular editions that I
4		have, as I said may not be the complete file.
5		I don't believe they are. My understanding
6		is that one or more of the lawyers on the
7		committee at that time has a complete file.
8	Q	Well, if the Master wanted to look at the
9		edition for back in the late sixties or early
10		seventies a copy could be obtained, couldn't
11		it?
12	A	Well, I could look in that file and see if I
13		had a copy. If I didn't I couldn't provide
14		it.
15	Q	The lawyers could provide it if they chose
16		to?
17	A	If they chose to, they could provide it.
18	Q	Then you have furnished this article
19		and abstract as Camera EX. B. What was the
20		date of it; when was it was it abstracted?
21	A	In 1981.
22	Q	Well, you have been abstracting since 1966,
23		haven't you?
24	A	Yes.
2 5	Q	And you have all have these articles and

1		abstracts on microfilm, don't you?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	If the Master wanted to look at the first
4		five articles that were abstracted in June of
5		1966, you could furnish them couldn't, you?
6	A	In June of 1966?
7	Q.	Yes, from the 3i material.
8	A	I don't believe I could furnish those in the
9		fashion that you are describing, no.
10	Q	You couldn't determine what articles were
11		abstracted in June of 1966 at 3i?
12	A	Not very easily, no.
13	Q	How would you go about doing it, if you were
14		to set out to do it?
15		THE MASTER: Off the record.
16		(There was a recess,
17		beginning when the Witness and all
18		counsel were in attendance, and
19		ending with the Witness and all
20		counsel returning to the room.)
21	A	What I was going to say is that these
22		articles are not arranged by a chronology on
23		the shelf. They are arranged by the numbers.
24		And whereas there might be some crude
25		relationship between the chronology of the

		8 2
1		number and the time that it was selected and
2		then analyzed, that is not the way the file
3		was arranged. So it would be just kind of a
4		question as to what article was done on what
5		day of what month. But it would be difficult
6		to come up with.
7	Q .	Well, I suggest there might be an alternative
8		way that if you furnished the numbers
9		assigned to the articles abstracted in June
10		of 1966, and then randomly five of those were
11		selected, you can produce them, can you not?
12	A	I was distracted. Repeat that.
13	Q	Could you furnish the numbers assigned to the
14		articles that were abstracted in June of
15		1966?
16	A	I don't think so.
17	Q	You mean you don't assign a date to it? When
18		an article is abstracted, you don't assign a
19		date that's in the computer and retrievable?
20	A	I would have to look through a hundred
21		thousand documents to try to figure out what
22		that would be.
23	Q	You could furnish just arbitrarily for the
24		middle of 1966, you could furnish the range
25		of numbers of the material that was put in,

83 count just roughly whether it went from 1 numbers maybe with five digits that would 2 just go on up there. I am trying to figure out a convenient way of someone taking a random sample in 1966 of the artcles that were selected and abstracted. How would you 6 go about doing it if you were--if you wanted 7 to furnish the numbers from which a random 8 sample could be taken? 9 If I wanted to get a random sample, I could 10 have anything, I would start with the first 11 12 document and the last document. Let's assume that's from 1 to 135,000. And I would go to 13 something called a table of random numbers, 14 which is generally an appendix in the back 15 16 of most mathematics books, and use the table of random numbers to identify which documents 17 18 would be selected randomly. When you follow 19 such a table in fact you have a high percentage of likelihood of having a random 20 21 sample. 22 Could you furnish the numbers that were 23 assigned, the beginning and ending numbers 24 of everything that was prepared at 3i? I could get the first number which would have 25

84 been the number 1, of course. And I'm not 1 sure, but I probably could come close to the 2 number that was the last one that was done 3 by 3i. I'm not certain of that. MR. TOWNSLEY: Okay. So that 5 would furnish some basis so that 6 if plaintiffs want, a request 7 could be made of the Master to 8 have produced some random samples ... 9 10 with which to compare this 1982 11 article and abstract that was furnished. I would say that we 12 may suggest this to the Master. 13 Of course, it would be up to the 14 defendants as to whether or not 15 16 they wanted to produce it. the absence of that, we would ask 17 these documents and the testimony 18 related to it be stricken. 19 20 Mr. Counsel, may I add that for sometime last A week you were in my view, focusing in on the 21 activities of the Literature Retrieval 22 Division. And it was my intention, with 23 24 regard to these exhibits, to show to the 25 Master things that had occurred and an

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

1		85 article that had been processed, and user
2		request form involving that article from
3		that time period as a way of demonstrating
4		the mechanics of how this thing worked at
5		LRD.
6	Ω .	Well, the problem with that in an adversary
7		system is that you are making the selection .
8		rather than the Plaintiffs. I am sure if I
9		could have access, I would have selected
10		something different.
11		MR. KIRK: If you had had
12		access to the material, we
13		wouldn't be here?
14		MR HANKS: I would probably
1 5		need a fifteen year leave of
16		absence.
17	Q	Who was the user that requested Camera EX. C?
18	A	The request that was made?
19	Q.	Yes.
20	A	It was Mr. Decker, Francis K. Decker.
21	Q	Was this in connection with pending
22		litigation?
23	-	MR. KIRK: Objection. I will
2 4		instruct the witness not to answer
2 5		that question on the grounds it

1		86 has to do with work product.
2	Q	In 1975, was there any pending litigation on
3		product liability cases against the Big Six
4		cigarette manufacturers?
5		MR. RUSKIN 1975?
6	Q	Yes.
7	A `	I don't know.
8	Q	Were there any pending cases in 1982?
9	A	I don't remember.
10	Q	1983?
11	A	I don't remember.
12	Q	You just just haven't committed it to memory
13		as to when some cases were pending to your
14		knowledge?
15	A	It's more than that. I just never got
16		involved with whether or not there were cases
17		pending. I never gave that very much
18		thought. That was not my charge.
19	Q	Would it surprise you to know that in 1982,
20		that during the greater majority of those
21		years, there weren't any as to each
22		defendant, and if there were not, why would
23		there be any pending requests?
24		MR. RUSKIN Object to the
25		form of the question as assuming

87 facts he hasn't established to my 1 knowledge, and unfair to confront the witness with that question. 3 MR. KIRK: 'Argumentative, not asking for a fact. The man has 5 already testified it's not his job to keep up with when the cases 7 were pending. And even if 8 cases are pending, that is asking ' 9 him to speculate. It is 10 argumentative. And I object to 11 12 the form. Dr. Giller, I know you are being asked not to 13 answer questions because of the work product 14 15 privilege which is in connection with litigation. Have you been advised as to the 16 particulars of what litigation was going or 17 not during these years? 18 MR. KIRK: By the lawyers? 19 20 From time to time a lawyer might have mentioned some litigation. 21 What kinds of litigation? 22 Tobacco and health litigation. 23 24 Anyone of them mention anything to you about that before 1982? 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

88 1 MR. KIRK: You are getting into what the lawyers were telling him about the use of this material 3 and what they needed it for. MR TOWNSLEY: Not at all. 5 MR. RUSKIN: You are asking 6 about communications between 7 himself and his employers, the 8 9 lawyers. 10 MR. TOWNSLEY: He has come in here with supposedly some 11 12 representative examples to explain how things work. I am trying to 13 14 show that this is not 15 representative, at all, as far as 16 being in connection with pending 17 litigation. So that if he wants 18 to testify that the materials were 19 furnished for that purpose, I need 20 to know what his knowledge is as 21 it relates to this subject. 22 MR. RUSKIN: If he has knowledge not based on the 23 24 communications from his employers, 25 the attorneys.

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

89 MR. TOWNSLEY: The mere fact 1 that it was made in that 2 connection, that somebody stated 3 it, would not be revealing of work 5 produced, just revealing of the fact that he was informed of on-going cases. 7 MR. BISSELL: The next 8 question is, what did they tell 10 you about the use to which that 11 material was going to be put. MR. TOWNSLEY: Is that an 12 objection. 13 14 MR. KIRK: So as not to 15 interrupt the proceedings, we have 16 let you go awfully far down the line in questioning about his In 17 Camera materials, and made very 18 few objections all day to the 19 20 questions. I think you have gone well beyond letting you inquire as 21 22 to information In Camera. This is 23 now argumentative, and I would 24 like to get back on track with factual things within this man's 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

knowledge, where he can give you 1 2 specific answers and get away from 3 the argumentative things, and more Δ appropriately and briefly put. MR. BISSELL: The first 5 question was objectionable. 6 7 (By Mr. Townsley) Back before these 8 documents were furnished to the Master, In 9 Camera, you had been asked a question pertaining to cigarette advertising. Did 10 these documents -- in your testimony was that 11 12 a follow up in respect to your answer about cigarette advertising that was made in our 13 14 absence? 15 I wouldn't call it follow up. However, I 16 did point to a particular paragraph in one of those exhibits that shed light on your 17 question. 18 19 Dr. Giller, I earlier asked you what I believe is the fifth question in which 20 objections were raised and plaintiffs' 21 22 counsel left the room. And it pertained to materials collected while you were at LRD 23 which pertained to cigarette advertising. 24 25 And now I will ask you Question No. 6. While

	91
ı	you were at 3i from 1966 to 1983, describe
2	any materials selected, abstracted or
3	indexed, which pertained to cigarette
4	advertising.
5	MR. KIRK: Objection, work
6	product under Rule 166b.
7	MR. RUSKIN: For the record,
8	if any of the attorneys state an
9	objection, is it understood, Mr. 1
10	Townsley, that objection includes
11	each of the objections that we
12	have set forth the many times as
13	work product, attorney-client, and
14	as expert consultant?
15	MR. TOWNSLEY: That will be
16	satisfactory. I will say from
17	this point on and beginning this
18	time I will accept that as the
19	nature of the objection.
20	(At this time, plaintiffs'
21	counsel withdrew from the
22	deposition room, and the answers
23	of the Witness were heard In
2 4	Camera by the Master, with the
25	Witness' attorneys and defense

	92
1	attorneys in attendance)
2	00
3	(Plaintiffs' attorneys having
4	returned to the deposition room,
5	the following proceedings were
6	heard by the Master, with the
7	Witness and all counsel present.)
8	Q (By Mr. Townsley) Dr. Giller, earlier you had
9	been asked a question in which we had defined
10	for you our definition of the smoking and
11	health issues. And one of the issues that we
12	discussed pertained to warnings posed by
13	cigarette smoking. And so I am going to ask
14	you the question now as follows: While you
15	were at the Literature Retrieval Division of
16	CTR from 1971 to 1983, describe any materials
17	collected and abstracted or indexed, which
18	pertained to warnings as to risk associated
19	with cigarette smoking.
20	MR. KIRK: Objection, because
21	of the work product.
22	MR. BISSELL: Objection
23	because it is overbroad.
24	(At this time plaintiffs'
25	counsel withdrew from the
	1 .

deposition room, and the Witness' 1 2 answer was heard In Camera before the Master, with counsel for the 3 witness and defense counsel in attendance.) ---000---6 (Plaintiffs' counsel having 7 8 returned to the deposition room, 9 the following proceedings were had before the Master, with the 10 Witness and all counsel present.) 11 12 Dr. Giller, the previous question inquired Q about materials pertaining to warnings of 13 risk associated with cigarette smoking, while 14 you were at LRD. I would ask you to answer 15 that same question to describe all the 16 materials collected, abstracted or indexed 17 18 that pertained to warnings of risks associated with cigarette smoking, but where 19 20 the materials were collected, abstracted or indexed while you were at 3i. 21 22 MR. KIRK: Objection on the grounds of work product. 23 (At This time, Plaintiffs' 24 25 counsel withdrew from the

94 deposition room, and the Witness' 1 2 answer was heard In Camers before the Master, with counsel for the witness and defense counsel in attendance.) 5 ---000---6 (Plaintiff's counsel having returned to the deposition room, 8 the following proceedings were had 9 before the Master, with the 10 Witness and all counsel present.) 11 Dr. Giller, while you were at the Literature 12 Retrieval Division from 1971 to 1983, 13 describe any materials that were collected, 14 abstracted or indexed which pertained to the 15 16 chemicals or constituents in cigarette smoke and in tobacco. 17 MR. KIRK: Objection. 18 Okay. And the follow up question will be for 19 the same information, but instead of being 20 while you were at 3i -- instead of while you 21 were at LRD, it will be while you were at 3i 22 from 1966 to 1971. 23 MR. KIRK: Objection on work 24 product grounds, also. 25

1		95 MR. TOWNSLEY: I'll be kind
2		of getting organized for the next
3		one.
4		MR. KIRK: All right, fine.
5		(At this time, plaintiffs'
6	,	counsel withdrew from the
7		deposition room, and the Witness'
8		answer was heard In Camera before
9		the Master, with counsel for the
10		witness and defense counsel in
11	1	attendance.)
12		000
13		(Plaintiffs' counsel having
14		returned to the deposition room,
15		the following proceedings were had
16		before the Master, with the
17		Witness and all counsel present.
18	Q	Dr. Giller, you had earlier told us that you
19		received from the lawyers certain criteria
20		that you used in selecting published
21		materials at both 3i and the Literature
22		Retrieval Division; is that correct?
23	A	Yes.
2 4	Q	When you say criteria, what do you mean?
25	A	I mean the SCOPE OF COVERAGE.

```
Well, is it expressed in words, subjects?
 1
          The SCOPE OF COVERAGE?
 3
          Yes.
      Α
          Yes.
 5
      Q
          And this subject matter, would it include
          items like -- would it include the chemicals
 6
 7
          or constituents found in cigarette smoke and
          tobacco?
 8
                           MR. KIRK: Objection.
 9
                           MR. TOWNSLEY: Let's see how
10
11
      ſ
                     we do on this one.
12
                           (At this time, plaintiffs'
13
                      counsel withdrew from the
14
                     deposition rrom and the Witness'
15
                      answer was heard In Camera before
16
                      the Master with counsel for the
                     Witness and defense counsel in
17
18
                      attendance.)
                           ---000---
19
20
                           (Plaintiffs' counsel having
21
                     returned to the deposition room,
22
                      the following proceedings were had
23
                     before the Master with the Witness
24
                    and all counsel in attendance.)
          Dr. Giller, in selecting the material that
25
```

1		97 was collected and abstracted, indexed and
2		stored at both 3i and the Literature Retrieval
3		Division of/at CTR, did you use the criteria
4		that was contained in the document that you
5		have described as the SCOPE OF COVERAGE?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q .	In the September 1980 edition of this document,
8		SCOPE OF COVERAGE, that has been offered by
9		you here today
10	A	Yes.
11		MR. KIRK: In Camera.
12	Q	In Camera, and it would contain a listing of
13		this criteria, would it not?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And is the criteria, as far as different
16		subject matters, approximately how many would
17		there be?
18	A	How many subject matters?
19	Q	Yes.
20		MR. RUSKIN: We are going to
21		object to that on the grounds that
22		that material gets into the work
23		product objections, and you are not
24		entitled to the number of subjects
25		covered by the selection criteria.
	1	

1	Q	98 Did you collect materials, or select and
2	_	collect materials at 3i and the Literature
3		Retrieval Division of all of the subject
4		matters listed in this document?
5		MR. RUSKIN: Listed in what
6		document, as of when?
7	Q.	SCOPE OF DISCOVERY document.
8		MR. KIRK: SCOPE OF COVERAGE.
9	Q	SCOPE OF COVERAGE.
10	ı.	MR. RUSKIN: Let me understand.
11		You are saying, did he collect
12		articles, select and collect
13		articles, on each and every topic
14		that is addressed in the SCOPE OF
15		COVERAGE?
16		MR. KIRK: That was not the
17		question.
18	Q	Yes, under each categorey.
19	A	The answer to the question is I don't know.
20	Q	As to the categories that are listed in this
21		document, SCOPE OF COVERAGE, will you be
22		familiar with the categories as to which a
23		significant amount of material was collected?
24	A	I don't know.
25	Q	So that I would have to go through each type
	1	

99 of information I was interested in, and then 1 2 ask you if it was one of the categories, and then whether or not you knew whether or not 3 that category of information was collected? Would that apparently be the system that I 5 would have to elicit this information from 6 you? 7 8 A That, if you follwed that procedure, I would not know it either. 9 Dr. Giller, I'm going to ask you to take In 10 Camera Ex. A, which is the SCOPE OF COVERAGE 11 12 edition of September 1980, and to go over all of the categories and state the ones to your 13 knowledge that materials have been collected on 14 15 either at 3i, or at the Literature Retrieval 16 Division. MR. RUSKIN: Objection to the 17 question. He just said that the 18 document changed over the years, so 19 20 how can you take a document that 21 was used in September 1980 at LRD, 22 and ask him if he collected the 23 the information at 3i, pursuant to a document used ten years earlier 24 at 3i? 25

	100
1	MR. TOWNSLEY: That's up to
2	him, whether he can do it or not.
3	MR. RUSKIN: Improper question.
4	MR. KIRK: Entirely overbroad
5	and global. Bill, it would take
6	probably from now to tomorrow
7	morning. And in fact, he already
8	said he couldn't do it. It is global
9	and overbroad and argumentative, and
10	calls upon the witness to speculate.
11	Q Let's try it another way, here. Is the health
12	effects of sidestream smoke, secondhand smoke,
13	or passive smoking, or any of those categories
14	that are contained in this document, SCOPE OF
15	COVERAGE?
16	MR. RUSKIN: Objection.
17	MR. KIRK: Same objection as
18	always.
19	(At this time, plaintiffs'
20	counsel withdrew from the deposition
21	room and the Witness' answer was
22	heard In Camera before the Master
23	with counsel for the Witness and
24	defense counsel in attendance.)
25	000

1	101 (Plaintiffs' counsel having
2	returned to the deposition room,
3	the following proceedings were
4	had before the Master, with the
5	Witness and all counsel present.)
6	Q Dr. Giller, in the document, SCOPE OF COVERAGE,
7	which is Camera Ex. A, that yuou have discussed
8	In Camera, I want you to go through it and
9	identify all the categories that would pertain
10	to the health effects of cigarette smoking.
11	MR. KIRK\ Work product, again.
12	And I also, I think that what we are
13	doing is a waste of time. What we
14	are doing now is asking him to go
15	through a document that is already
16	in evidence In Camera. And now you
17	are asking him for In Camera answers
18	as to what the document identified
19	In Camera, what it says. I think we
20	are getting nowhere, but we will
21	have an answer to the question.
2 2	(At this time, plaintiffs' counsel
2 3	withdrew from the deposition room and
24	the Witness' answer was heard in Camera
2 5	before The Master with counsel for the

1		102 witness and defense counsel in atten-
2		dance.)
3		000
4		(Plaintiffs' counsel having re-
5		turned to the deposition room, the
6		following proceedings were had before
7		the Master, with the Witness and all
8		counsel present.)
9	Q	You have already testified, Dr. Giller, that
10		the safety deposit boxes and safe contained
11		data, but not only data, but also contained
12		some other materials; is that correct?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Describe for me all of the materials or
15		other data that were kept in any of these
16		boxes or safes between 1971 and April of 1983.
17	A	To the best of my recollection, the things in
18		the boxes or safes were copies of the
19		documentation that we had at LRD. And the
20		documentation that I am talking about would
21		relate to how to run LRD, in terms of the flow
22		of materials, the instructions for librarians,
23		the instructions for abstractors, the SCOPE
24		OF COVERAGE, possibly. I am not certain of
25		that.

,		103 As I think I have testified earlier,
1		
2		of this storage site, it was to have a secure
3		location in the event of an emergency event, in
4		which these items might be destroyed, such as
5		in a fire or in a flood. There was nothing
6		there that was not already on our premises.
7	Q	Describe each one of these documents that .
8		constitutes these other materials.
9	A	I couldn't remember the specific documents.
10	Q	Well, would the SCOPE OF COVERAGE document, a
11		copy of that be in there?
12		MR. KIRK: He just said he
13		thought it was.
14	l.	MR. TOWNSLEY: He just
15		answered that he didn't remember
16		any of them, too.
17	Q	What about the volumes on abstracting and
18		indexing? Were there in there copies of those?
19	A	I don't remember.
20	Q	Well, as you described it, you had in there
21		the documents that told you how to run LRD.
22		And what I want you to do is to sit here and
23		think, and describe those documents that
2 4		would tell a person how to run LRD, if
25		everything had been destroyed by fire.

104 I'll try to do that to the best of my 1 2 recollection. 3 Okay. But, I can't remember the specific titles and documents of --Well, do it to the best of your recollection. 7 There were copies of manuals that were usedto operate the computer, specific instructions 9 on how to use the computer to up-date and 10 to search. 11 There were copies of what we call flow charts and instructions for most major 12 13 steps of the operation. These consisted of 14 written instructions and diagrams that 15 would have been prepared by a systems analyst. 16 There were copies of something 17 called JCL cards, which are Job Control 18 Languare Cards. These are punch cards, 19 which are fed into a computer ready to get it to identify jobs that are to be run by 20 21 the computer. 22 As I said, there may have been a copy of the SCOPE OF COVERAGE in there 23 24 and certain instruction manuals that were in use at that time. I can't recall any 25

105 more than that. 1 You have earlier told us at least some 2 uses that were made of this material that 3 was collected and abstracted, indexed, retrieved. You have told us about using it 5 to respond to user requests by the users 6 that you have identified for us. 7 Has this data been utlized 8 for any other purpose, either the 3i data 9 or the Literature Retrieval Division data? 10 Not to my knowledge. 11 Then are you saying that as far as you 12 know, none of the data has been utilized 13 to supply information to the public? Not to my knowledge. 15 Is it your understanding that the only use 16 made of this data that has been collected 17 18 is in connection with pending cases involving product liability cases on the 19 20 health consequences of cigarette smoking of potential litigation on that subject? 21 I believe I answered that question last 22 week, as to what my understanding and 23 knowledge of what the use of this 24 25 information is for.

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

<u>689315802</u>

106 1 I believe I said that my 2 understanding was that the information 3 was used by attorneys in connection with defense of tobacco and health liability 5 lawsuits; that I had no knowledge of what the lawyers actually used this for; and 6 7 they may not have told me what they were using it for. 8 9 The only situation involving what you just mentioned, that I happened 10 to have firsthand knowledge about, is the 11 12 one about Mr. Ramm. Because Mr. Ramm 13 called me personally, early on when I became administrator, probably in 1972 14 He told me what he wanted to use this 15 information for. What he did with it, I 16 17 don't know. What did he say he wanted to use the 18 19 information for? MR. KIRK: This has been 20 21 asked and answered, Bill, but, 22 if you want to, try it one more 23 time. 24 Okay. Mr. Ramm called me that one time, 25 and asked me to run a search on a particular

		107
1		107 individual that he said was being considered
2		for a research grant from the Council for
3		Tobacco Research.
4	Q	Well, did you run it?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	What was the name of the individual?
7		MR. RUSKIN: Objection. You
8		are asking now what was in the
9		system.
10		MR. TOWNSLEY: I am asking
11	!	him for the name or the identity
12		of the person that he ran the
13		reasearch on.
14		NR, RYSJUB: I understand.
15		And that would identify what was
16		in the system. And we will
17		object to it.
18		MR. TOWNSLEY: We will go
19		out of the room so he can answer
20		it then.
21		(At this time plaintiffs'
22		counsel withdrew from the
23		deposition room and the Witness'
24 .		answer was heard In Camera before
25		The Master with counsel for the

1		108 Witness and defense counsel in
2		attendance.)
3		000
4		(Plaintiffs' counsel having
5		returned to the deposition room,
6		the following proceedings were
7	,	had before the Master, with the
8		Witness and all counsel present.)
9		MR. RUSKIN: With respect to
10		that response, I will disclose to
11		Mr. Townsley that the answer to
12		that question was, "I don't know."
13		MR. KIRK: In other words,
14		he is withdrawing his objection,
15		right?
16		MR. RUSKIN: That's right.
17	Q	Then you don't know the name of the person
18		that Mr. Ramm wanted you to do the research
19		on?
20	A	I don't remember the name. It was fourteen
21		years ago.
22	Q	Are the records that were created in respect
23		to that use, or request, are they still in
2 4		existence?
25	A	I doubt that any record is still in existence

1		109 from 1972.
2	0	Did Mr. Ramm ever make any other requests to
3	-	you?
4	A	Not that I recall.
5	Q	Well, now was Mr. Ramm an authorized user?
6		MR. KIRK: At the time he
7		made the request?
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	I don't remember.
10	Q	But, he was Mr. Ramm, wasn't he?
11		MR. KIRK: Objection to the
12		form of the question. I will
13		concede we will stipulate it.
14		Mr. Ramm was Mr. Ramm.
15	Q	Mr. Ramm, he was with R. J. Reynolds?
16	A	My understanding was that he had been
17		employed by R. J. Reynolds.
18	Q	And the chairman of the board of directors
19		of CTR; wasn't he?
20	A	Not simultaneously.
21	Ω	At the thie this request was made to you
22		he was, though?
23	Y	Yes, he was.
2 4	Q	Did you ever do any, have any requests as to
25		any other prospective research grantees of

1		CTR?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Okay. You have told us that one other use
4		was made of reequests from users that didn't
5		pertain to product liability litigation on
6		the health effects of tobacco use. Do you
7	٠	know of any other uses that were ever made .
8		of this data, either the 3i data, or the
9		Literature Retrieval data?
10	A	No, I don't.
11	Q	Who notified you that the Tobacco Institute
12		was to be an authorized user of the 3i
13		data?
14		MR. RUSKIN: Did he say
15		they were a user of 3i data?
16	Q	Well, I would ask you, were they a user
17		of 3i data?
18	A	I don't remember.
19	Q	But you do know they were a user of the
20		Literature Retrieval data?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And that included the 3i data?
23	A	It would have included everything that
24		was then in the data base, yes.
25	Q	Okay, again, you have stated that
		·

1		the Tobacco Institute was an
2		authorized user of the Literature
3		Retrieval Division. Who told you that
4		the Tobacco Instute was an authorized
5		user of it?
6	A	To the best of my recollection, when
7		I became administrator of LRD, the
8		Tobacco Institute already was defined
9		as a user.
10	Q	At some point, they ceased to be a
11		user?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Was that while you were still at the
14		Literature Retrieval Division, or
15		after you left?
16	A	I can't remember.
17		MR. KIRK: Judge
18		excuse me, Bill we have had
19		a long day. And the witness
20		is getting tired. How much
21		longer are we going to go today?
22		THE COURT: We have
23		mentioned 4:30. Off the record.
2 4		(The was a conference off
25		the record.)

	112
	THE COURT: Back on the
•	record.
A	With regard to the question as to when the
	Tobacco Institute ceased to be a user, I
	said that I didn't remember. But I should
	have added that the Tobacco Institute never
	was a user after LS, Inc. was formed, in
	case that wasn't clear.
Q	Who would have made the decision to terminate.
	the Tobacco Institute as a user, as an
	authorized user?
A	That would have been made by the committee
	of lawyers.
Q	And they communicated that information
	to you?
A	Yes.
Q	Earlier in your testimony, you told me
	you were utilizing a data bank service
-	called Bibliographic Retrieval Service.
	Where is the physical location of that
	computer?
A	I believe it's in Latham, New York.
Q	Is that where the company is based, in
	Latham, New York?
A	That's my understanding?
	Q A Q

		113
1		MR. TOWNSLEY: Let's mark
2		that for identification.
3		(Deposition Ex. No. 49 was
4		was so marked for identification
5		by the reporter, and a copy is
6		attached hereto.)
7	Q.	Dr. Giller, I would like for you to look at
8		what has been marked for identification as
9		Ex. No. 49 to your deposition, and then tell
10		us what it is.
11	A	This is my affidavit, sworn on May 30, 1986.
12	Q	After furnishing this affidavit, you
13		thereafter furnished a longer and more
14		detailed affidavit; did you not?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	I would direct your attention to Paragraph 3
17		of the affidavit. And it has a sentence
18		there:
19		"I am not under the control of any
20		party to this litigation."
21		You understand that the six
22		shareholders of LS, Inc., are parties to this
23	1	litigation: Do you not?
24		And you add another sentence:
25		"To the best of my knowledge and

1	belief, no employee of a cigarette manufacturer
2	has ever been an officer, director, agent or
3	employee of LS, Inc.
4	You don't think that the appointed
5	directors of LS, Inc. are agents for cigarette
6	manufacturers?
7	MR. KIRK: In a legal sense;
	Bill?
8	
9	MR. TOWNSLEY: Well, I was 7
10	trying to just clarify this
11	affidavit.
12	MR. KIRK: In his understanding
13	as a layman, you would like to know
14	whether these directors of the
15	tobacco companies
16	Q Yes.
17	MR. KIRK: Objection on the
18	grounds it asks for a legal
19	conclusion.
20	MR. TOWNSLEY: It's his
21	affidavit, not mine.
22	MR. RUSKIN: I understand,
23	but now you are asking whether
2 4	or not but, go ahead. Are
25	they agents? It says, "no

ı		employee of a cigarette
2		manufacturer has ever been an
3		officer, director, agent or
4		employee of LS, Inc."
5	Q Dr. Giller	
6		THE MASTER: Can I interject
7		here a moment, because I don't
8		really understand this change
9		from: "LSI is totally controlled "
10	; *	by the six people who are selected
11		by the Big Six Tobacco companies*
12		There's something wrong with this
13		sentence. They must be officers or
14		directors of the corporations, if
15		they absolutely control it.
16		MR. RUSKIN: They are not
17		officers or directors, Judge. They
18		are the shareholders who own the
19	•	company. The six manufacturers
20	·	are the shareholders. They appoint
21		a Board of Directors that controls
22		the offices of that company.
23		And Mr. Giller is an officer of
2 4		the company. But there's no
25		employee of a cigarette
	,	

	116
1	manufacturer is an officer,
2	director, agent or employee
3	of LSI. They are the share-
4	holders. They own the stock.
5	THE MASTER: So a lawyer
6	who is employed on an exclusive
7	basis by one of the Big Six,
8	who has happens to be appointed
9	to run LSI
10	MR. RUSKIN: There is no
11	such firm. It is all individual
12	law firms. No lawyers from one
13	of the Big Six companies is on
14	the board.
15	THE MASTER: In other words
16	they are not house counsel.
17	MR. RUSKIN: That's correct.
18	Q The point I am trying to clarify is, when I
19	looked at the affidavit, I kind of got the
20	impression that the cigarette manufacturers
21	were completely divorced from LSI, that
22	they had no officers, directors,
23	agents or employees, that were an employee
24	of a cigarette manufacturer, and while that
25	may be technically correct, they are the

117 sole shareholders of LSI, aren't they? 1 So you wouldn't want to imply with this affidavit, that LSI wasn't under the control 3 of the sole shareholders? MR. RUSKIN: Asks for a 5 legal conclusion as to the 6 ownership. We have agreed that 7 8 they are the sole shareholders, and they own the company. Now 9 you are asking whether they 10 control the company. They 11 12 appoint a Board of Directors. I was also asking what was his intention, 13 14 as far as making this statement in an affidavit. 15 16 This statement to the best of my knowledge is true. This statement resulted in this 17 affidavit. And this affidavit resulted from 18 an interview that I had with my counsel. 19 And that is my belief, that this information 20 should have been included in this affidavit, 21 and states the truth. And I am not having a 22 problem with it. 23 24 Wouldn't it also be helpful to state in there that the cigarette manufacturers were the 25

		118
1		sole shareholders of LSI?
2		MR. KIRK: I think that
3		question is argumentative, Bill.
4		I don't think he can speculate
5		as to whether it would be helpful.
6		We have already stipulated they
7	•	are 100 percent shareholders, and
8		provided you with the book.
9		MR. RUSKIN: Mr. Decker's
10		affidavit was filed with the
11		court a month ago. I think it
12		was reflected in Mr. Decker's
13		affidavit filed a month ago.
14	Q	Describe the procedures that the Literature
15		Retrieval Division took to locate articles
16		in foreign publications to fit the criteria,
17	i	just briefly, the procedures that you used.
18	A	The procedures were exactly the same as
19 .	!	were used for English languare materials.
20	Q	So you mean that you learned about their
21		existence in the same way.
22	A	Everything was exactly the same except that
23		the journal that we received, or that we
24		became aware of through some secondary
25		source was not in English.

		119
1 ·	Q	I seem to recall that you collected and
2		abstracted some conference proceedings that
3		apparently contained information that fit
4		your criteria. How did you go about
5		collecting conference proceedings?
6	A	Exactly the same manner.
7	Q	How would you learn about the conference .
8		proceedings ?
9	A	Conference proceedings that are scheduled
10		are published in a variety of places.
11		One need only look in those places.
12	Q	At three i or Literature Retrieval Division,
13		did you collect and abstract any materials
14		pertaining to the Federal Trade Commission?
15	A	Pertaining to the Federal Trade Commission?
16	Q	Yes.
17	A	I wouldn't know whether any articles
18		pertained to the Federal Trade Commission.
19		If the article was published in the medical
20		literature that we subscribed to, and it
21		fit the selection criteria, it would be
22		selected.
23	Q	You don't recall anything in the criteria
24		or categories that included the Federal
25		Trade Commission?

		120
1	A	No.
2	Q	Do you know if your criteria included
3		anything pertaining to Congress, members of
4		Congress, or congressional committees?
5 .		MR. RUSKIN: We are going
6		to object on the grounds that
7	•	what was in the criteria, we
8		object to that on the grounds of
9		work product.
10		(At this time plaintiffs'
11		counsel withdrew from the
12		room, and the Witness' answer
13		was heard In Camera before the
14	·	Master with counsel for the
15		Witness and defense counsel in
16		attendance.)
17		000
18		(Plaintiffs' counsel having
19		returned to the deposition room,
20		the following proceedings were
21		heard before the Master, with the
22		Witness and all counsel present.)
23	Q	Did your criteria and your SCOPE OF
24		COVERAGE document include any subjects that
25	:	would involve regulation of the tobacco

	·
ı	121 industry?
2	MR. RUSKIN: Objection, work
3	product.
4	MR. KIRK: Work product.
5	(At this time, plaintiffs'
6	counsel withdrew from the
7	deposition room and the Witness',
8	answer was heard In Camera before
9	The Master with counsel for the
10	Witness and defense counsel in
11	attendance.)
12	000
13	(Plaintiffs' counsel having
14	returned to the deposition room,
15	the following proceedings were
16	had before the Master, with the
17	Witness and all counsel present.)
18	Q I am going to try I'll see if Dale has any
19	questions. I will ask you the name of the
20	person fired by the Literature Retrieval
21	Division of CRT that then later sued CTR,
22	that you referred to earlier?
23	MR. KIRK: Objection on the basis
2 4	of irrelevancy.
25	MR. RUSKIN: It was not a

1		work product objection. We
2		objected.
3		MR. TOWNSLEY: The person may
4		have some discoverable information.
5		We are entitled to try to talk to
6		anyone that has some, may want to
7		give his deposition. But we are
8		entitled to the identity.
9		MR. RUSKIN: Disgruntled.
10		It's not In Camera.
11	A	The name of that individual was Leon Dickerson,
12		D-i-c-k-e-r-s-o-n.
13	Q	What was his job title?
14	A	I can't remember his exact title. He had
15		responsibility for supervising the production
16		of microfilm.
17	Q	Does he live in New York?
18	A	I don't know where he lives.
19	Q	I have a few items of notes on questions
20		that I deferred that were not answered
21		the last time that I will try to cover
22	:	as my last questions.
23		I would ask you to describe
24		your duties as project officer at 3i
25		in respect to 3i's contract with
		·

1	123 Covington & Burling.
2	MR. KIRK: It's been
3	asked and answered. You got
4	a complete answer on that,
5	didn't you?
6	MR. HANKS: No. I think we
7	deferred it.
8	MR. KIRK: Okay. Why don't
9	you rattle the two or three off if
10	you have got any others.
11	Q (By Mr. Townsley) Another objection that
12	was made was that I had asked you about the
13	3i materials that had been placed in the
14	safety deposit boxes and safe. And an
15	objection was made and I deferred it. And
16	I think that you may have answered that
17	today. So let me go ahead and ask you
18	this. And you can tell me if the data and the
19	materials that you previously described,, was
20	that the same type of data that 3 was that
21	the same type of data that was included in
22	the material from 3i that was placed in these
23	boxes or safes?
24	MR. KIRK: Same type as the
25	material from LRD?
	·

1	Q	Yes.
2	A .	Okay.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	That would have been data, itself, and some
5		of these materials on how to run LRD?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Only it would be materials on how to run 3i?
8	A	That's correct.
9	Q	Why don't we just have her to read back the
10		question regarding the duties of the project
11		officer.
12		MR. KIRK: Wait a minute,
13		Bill. You just want to know what
14		his duties as project officer at
15		3i were. I don't think we have
16		objection.
17	A	My duties as project officer at 3i was to
18		see that the 3i staff did what they were
19		supposed to do in a manner very similar
20		to what I did when I was administrator
21		of LRD and then president of LS, Inc.
22		There was less to do at that time, since
23		the functions that 3i did were somewhat
2 4		different from the functions that LRD did
25		and somewhat different than the functions

that LS. Inc. did. However, the overall 1 2 responsibility was similar. MR. TOWNSLEY: Your Honor, the only other items that I have pertain to procedures and so forth 5 in my review. Because that's all 6 the questions that I have of 7 Dr. Giller. MR. KIRK: We will reserve our 9 10 questions until the time of trial, if necessary. 11 MR. HANKS: Could I ask one 12 question? I am curious. 13 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. HANKS: 15 You mentioned that you were under a 16 nondisclosure agreement when you were at 17 LRD; is that correct? 18 19 Yes. А What was the penalty for the violation 20 21 of that agreement? 22 Α I don't remember the specific language of that agreement. But I do remember that 23 24 I had agreed to injunctive relief in advance, and that there were possible 25

		126
1		punitive damages and so forth. I just
2		recall.
3	Q	Were you receiving some type of deferred
4		compensation while you were employed by
5		CPR at LRD?
6	A	Deferred compensation?
7	Q	Yes, in addition to your salary.
8		MR. TOWNSLEY: I believe that
9		would be all the questions we would
10		have of Dr. Giller. We might just
11		cover some procedural matters.
12		MR. KIRK: In all of the
13		procedural statements that were
14	i.	before the deposition started,
15		we forgot to state what our
16		stipulations would be as to
17		whether the depositions will be
18		taken under the rules, and
19	٠	further, the witness would
20		sign the deposition notice of
21		filing, et cetera.
22		Let me see if we can agree
23		on that.
24		We would like the deposition
25		to be considered to be taken under

the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, 1 and that the witness will be allowed 2 to read and sign the deposition. If a signed original is not on file, then a copy can be utilized by, I suppose, the parties to the 6 case at the time of trial. 7 8 And we waive notice of filing. We would like the record to reflect that we can sign the deposition 10 before any notary, sohe won't have 11 12 to come to HJouston to sign it. And that the witness will 13 14 read and sign the In Camera 15 portion of the deposition. Of 16 course, that portion will be 17 sealed pursuant to our previous 18 statements on the record regarding that. 19 20 MR. TOWNSLEY: I would say that we would just agree that the deposition 21 22 has been taken in accordance with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and 23 24 the witness can sign it before any

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR

authorized officer to take the

689345825

128 1 deposition. We do not want to 2 waive the filing of copy as required. We think it should be 3 4 filed. However, it would be sealed and under a non-dissemination 5 6 agreement. 7 MR. KIRK: If I said the filing R of a copy, I didn't mean that. I 9 said the original would be filed 10 subject to the In Camera portion being sealed. And that portion that 11 12 is not In Camera would not be 13 disseminated publicly, pursuant to our previous agreement. 14 15 MR. TOWNSLEY: Mr. Hanks tells 16 me that he has talked with a lawyer for CTR and in order to have a clear 17 understanding that he is going to 18 19 identify in proposed stipulation 20 to you the actual exhibits and the 21 page numbers and the transcript that 22 will be subject to the understanding 23 that was placed on the record 24 .earlier; 25 MR. KOELTL: That's correct.

129 And that's part of the transcript and 1 2 the exhibits also will be subject to the court order in Beaumont. This is turned over to the Master and attached to it and 5 made a part of the record, as I understand it. 7 Я MR. KIRK: Let's see if we can't try to synthesize this 10 into what we have been rambling around. We have enumerated 11 12 that we have taken the deposition 13 pursuant to the Texas Rules of 14 Procedure. 15 MR. TOWNSLEY: And the Rules of Procedure on the Order 16 17 of Reference. 18 MR. KIRK: Yes, and that the 19 witness will be allowed to read and 20 sign both the Non-In Camera portion 21 and the In Camera portion of the 22 deposition before any notary authorized to take oaths in 23 24 . New York or wherever he wishes to sign, and that the original 25

130 of the deposition will be filed. 1 But it will be filed pursuant to 2 the non-dissemination agreement 3 regarding CPR and pursuant to our agreement regarding the non-dissemination order and the deposition, In Camera 8 portion. MR. TOWNSLEY: Subject to the rulings of the Master and 10 perhaps the Judge later on, but 11 12 we will continue not to -- we will continue to be bound not to 13 disclose any of it until an 14 15 appropriate authority tells us 16 to the contrary. MR. KIRK: That's correct. 17 18 and that in particular, the 19 In Camera portion will be kept under seal until it is for reason 20 21 ordered to be disclosed by order 22 of the highest court from which 23 an appeal can be taken. 24 MR. KIRK: That's it. MR. TOWNSLEY: As we 25

131 understand it, Your Honor. 1 Your Honor would understand 2 that the Master has given us a notice that we are recessing the deposition now until all the discovery matters are resolved, 7 at which time you will have it R resumed. THE MASTER: Yes, sir. 9 10 MR. KIRK: Wait a minute, Bill. 11 You had better explain that. 12 MR. TOWNSLEY: I would think that 13 that at some point when the rulings 14 are made that both sides are going -- if 15 any claims of privilege are overruled, that the witness will have an opportunity 16 to either testify consistent with the 17 18 Master's ruling or decline and file 19 objections as the order of reference 20 states with the Court. MR. RUSKIN: He has answered the 21 questions that have been objected to. 22 23 They have been answered In Camera. 24 MR. TOWNSLEY: They have been 25 answered in order to afford the Master

	132
1	and the Court an opportunity to pass
2	on the merits of privilege. But
3	they have not been answered by us.
4	We would have questions to ask if
5	at some time, if he is ordered,, if
6	any objections are overruled, then
7	we would be entitled to ask questions
8	then.
9	MR. RUSKIN: The questions have
10	been asked. And the deposition
11	has been completed.
12	THE MASTER: When he says describe
13	all the documents, if I order all that
14	question to be answered, this answer
15	he gave is not going to be enough.
16	MR. RUSKIN: So he has an
17	opportunity to do so pursuant
18	THE MASTER: Resume.
19	MR. RUSKIN: Subject to your
20	further order.
21	MR. Townsley: That's true.
22	MR. KIRK: I think the way to
23	do it is that Fred Giller will conduct
2 4	himself in accordance with any orders
25	of the Courts that this case may be

133 appealed to. 1 2 Should those courts enter any orders regarding the necessity to 3 resume this deposition. But we are not agreeing that he is going to be here to resume the deposition except for some court order. MR. TOWNSLEY: Just procedurally and as a predicate for an appeal, if 9 on any of the areas we have asked 10 questions, if the claim of privilege 11 12 is overruled, ,then you have ten days under the order of reference in which 13 14 to appeal it to the Judge. Then, of course, and then 15 16 when other appeals are available. But if at some point -- and I 17 would want you to understand, at 18 some point after this is exhausted, 19 if there's any area as to which 20 the claim of privilege has been 21 22 denied, that we would expect to 23 resume the deposition in that 24 area, that these simply, these answers are furnished merely to 25

1	134 enlighten the decisionmakers on
2	the privilege and are certainly
3	not calculated to satisfy our
4	discovery needs in the event we
5	are entitled to pursue these other
6	areas.
7	MR. KIRK: You have an
8	opportunity to make any further
9	objections to the Court that are
10	consistent with the ultimate
11	rulings in this matter.
12	MR. TOWNSLEY: Theh deposition
13	would resume if there is any area
14	MR. KIRK: If the Court so
15	ordered.
16	MR. TOWNSLEY: That's true as
17	I understand it. Then again, I am
18	looking at Paragraph 4 now, that we
19	have, I gather under that, till
20	August 18 that either party can
21	file objections and responses.
22	THE MASTER: I really thought
23	I was saving time. I really thought
24	that. I was not. We hope that by
25	August 18 everything that is

supposed to be called to my attention 1 before I am put in the position of 2 ruling will be in my hands. Now, if you look at those subparagraphs, you realize that the responder have got 5 to be given the time to respond before August 18. That is what I'm shooting at. Idon't know whether it is possible. 9 Also, we discussed at some length 10 that there should be a date that this 11 12 is in my hands and everything I need to make a decision. Somebody can't 13 come in the next day and hand me a 14 40-page brief. 15 MR. TOWNSLEY: Then if we want 16 to file anything, we have until that 17 date to file it, and you will still 18 consider it. 19 THE MASTER: If it seems to 20 require a response from the other side, 21 22 you ought to give them five days. MR. TOWNSLEY: Except that if 23 it's not in response, it should be 24 filed by August 13, then. 25

	136
1	THE MASTER: Both sides, that's
2	correct.
3	MR. STALLINGS: I assume that
4	August 18 would also be a date for
5	the tendering of the testimony in the
6	Giller case.
7	MR. TOWNSLEY: I would say
8	probably, Paul, it should be the
9	13th in case the other side wants.
10	to respond to the tender of the
11	evidence.
12	MR. STALLINGS: Whenever you
13	to that point, Judge, you can set
14	the date.
15	MR. TOWNSLEY: If I came in
16	with some witnesses on the 18th,
17	you might want to have some other
18	witnesses.
19	MR. STALLINGS: I don't think
20	we need five days.
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	

1	137
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	FREDERICK B. GILLER, The Witness
12	
13	(STATE OF
14	(COUNTY OF
1.5	
16	Subscribed and sworn to before me, the undersigned
17	authority, on this the day of
18	1986, by the witness, Frederick B. Giller.
19	
20	
21	Notary Public in and for
22	
23	My commission expires:
24	
25	

138 1 THE STATE OF TEXAS 2 I, the undersigned Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of 3 Texas, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the caption hereto are correct; that the 5 examination of the witnesses in said cause was correctly reported by me at the time and place and 7 under agreement set forth shorthand into typewriting under my direction and supervision; and that said 9 10 transcript is a correct record of the proceedings 1p 11 had at said time and place. 12 I further certify that I am neither attorney 13 nor counsel for, nor related to, nor employed by any 14 parties to this action, and that I am not a relative 15 or employee of any counsel herein or financially interested in this case. 16 17 18 ALICE A. JANETRSKY, CSR, RPR CSR NO. 517 Expires December 31, 1988 19 Notary Commission Expires February 14, 1988 20 21 4400 Memorial Drive, No. 1048 Houston, Texas 77007 22 (713) 221 6384 (713) 868 6976 TAXABLE COST:____ 23 24 PAID BY:____ 25

ALICE A. JANETSKY, CSR, RPR